

THE QUANTUM COHOMOLOGY OF HOMOGENEOUS VARIETIES

JUN LI AND GANG TIAN

Mathematics Department
University of California, Los Angeles
and
Courant Institute of Mathematics
New York University

0. INTRODUCTION

The notion of quantum cohomology was first proposed by Witten [Va, Wi], based on topological field theory. Its mathematical theory was only established recently by Y. Ruan and the second named author [RT, Ru], where they proved the existence of the quantum rings on semi-positive symplectic manifolds. (Fano manifolds are particular semi-positive manifolds.) In this note, we will provide a purely algebro-geometric proof to the existence of quantum cohomology rings for a special class of manifolds already treated there, namely homogeneous manifolds. By using algebro-geometric approach, we can prove the existence of quantum cohomology of homogeneous varieties defined over any algebraically closed field. This should be useful in enumerative geometry. We believe the approach here can be applied to a larger class of algebraic varieties, such as toric varieties.

Let X be a d -dimensional smooth variety. To construct its quantum cohomology of X , one needs to define a class of enumerative invariants, which is the Gromov-Witten invariants when X is a complex variety. Let Σ_g be the smooth curve of genus g with marked points $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \Sigma_g$, let $B \in A_1 X / \sim_{\text{alg}}$ and let $\text{Mor}(\Sigma_g, B)$ be the moduli scheme of morphisms $f: \Sigma_g \rightarrow X$ satisfying $[f(\Sigma_g)] \in B$. For any cohomology classes

The first named author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-9307892 and A. Sloan fellowship and the second named author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-9303999 and Alan T. Waterman award.

$\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in A^*X$ whose Poincare duals are represented by subvarieties $Y_1, \dots, Y_j \subseteq X$, the enumerative invariant $\Phi_{(B,g)}$ is defined as

$$(0.1) \quad \Phi_{(B,g)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \sum_{f \in \Lambda} m(\Lambda, f)$$

when $\dim \Lambda = 0$ and is zero otherwise, where Λ is the scheme

$$\Lambda = \{f \in \text{Mor}(\Sigma_g, B) \mid f(x_i) \in Y_i\}$$

and $m(\Lambda, f)$ is the multiplicity of Λ at f . Clearly, $\Phi_{(B,g)}$ is symmetric. By Riemann-Roch theorem, (0.1) should be non-trivial only when

$$(0.2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \text{codim } Y_i - c_1(X) \cdot B + d(1 - g) = 0,$$

since its left hand side is the expected dimension of Λ .

For the moment, let us assume the enumerative invariant $\Phi_{(B,g)}$ has been defined for all possible $(g, B, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$. Then they should obey a simple composition law [RT]: Assume that the dual of the diagonal cycle $\Delta \subseteq X \times X$ has the following Kunnet decomposition

$$[\Delta]^\vee = \sum_{l=1}^p \zeta_l \times \tilde{\zeta}_l$$

(via obvious inclusion $A^*X \times A^*X \subseteq A^*(X \times X)$), then for any partition $g = g_1 + g_2$ with $g_1, g_2 \geq 0$ and n_1 lies between $\max(0, 2 - 2g_1)$ and $\min(n, n + 2g_2 - 2)$,

$$\Phi_{(B,g)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \sum_{\substack{B=B_1+B_2 \\ 1 \leq l \leq p}} \Phi_{(B_1,g_1)}(\zeta_l, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n_1}) \cdot \Phi_{(B_2,g_2)}(\tilde{\zeta}_l, \alpha_{n_1+1}, \dots, \alpha_n).$$

The invariants $\Phi_{(B,0)}$ are used to define a new ring structure on A^*X , called the quantum ring, whose importance in enumerative geometry is yet to be realized. This in part is due to the lack of rigorous algebraic definition, except the case treated in [CM, Be] and this note.

This new ring structure on A^*X is defined as follows: We first form a formal infinite series

$$\tilde{\Phi}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \sum_{B \in A_1 X / \sim_{\text{alg}}} \Phi_{(B,0)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) e^{-t(c_1(X) \cdot B)},$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in A^*X$ and $(c_1(X) \cdot B)$ is the the degree of $c_1(X)(B) \in A_0X$. Then we define a new product

$$\times_Q : A^*X \times A^*X \longrightarrow A^*X$$

by the rule

$$\alpha \times_{\mathbf{Q}} \beta = \sum_{l=1}^p \tilde{\Phi}(\alpha, \beta, \tilde{\zeta}_l) \zeta_l.$$

This product gives rise to a ring structure (the only non-trivial part is to check its associativity) that follows immediately from the composition law above. It is worth mentioning that some enumerative problems, say counting curves in Fano varieties, can be worked out by using the homomorphism $\tilde{\Phi}_{(B,g)}$ once the composition law is established. As Witten pointed out, a simple corollary of composition law is the associativity law for rational curves. For instance, assuming the associativity law, Kontsevich calculated the number of degree d rational curves in CP^2 through $3d - 1$ generic points. One can also deduce an explicit formula for counting rational curves in $\mathbf{P}^n$ by using the associativity law for rational curves [KM, RT].

There are several issues that need to be addressed before this set of invariants can be defined. First, Λ has to be discrete under (0.2) in order to make sense of (0.1), at least not appealing to the excessive intersection theory. Usually, this rarely happens even after moving Y_i 's to general positions (there are limitations in “moving” subvarieties). In [RT], this difficulty was overcome by replacing f with solutions of the Cauchy-Riemann equation with respect to a generic almost complex structure on X . In this note, we choose to avoid this difficulty by restricting ourselves to the case where $g = 0$ and X is a homogeneous variety (strictly speaking we will only consider those homogeneous varieties whose tangent bundles are generated by global sections), since then the space of morphisms $\text{Mor}(\Sigma_0, B)$ is smooth everywhere and the variety Y_i can be made in a general position after translation via a $\sigma \in G$ [Kl]. Another issue is that the space $\text{Mor}(\Sigma_0, B)$ is never proper. This can be dealt easily with for homogeneous variety by using non-proper intersection [Fu, §10.2]. The main input of this note is the construction of a degeneration of $\text{Mor}(\Sigma_0, B)$ upon which the composition law follows immediately.

Before sketching the construction of this degeneration, let us first state the main theorem of this paper. In the following, for any variety X we denote by $(A^i X)$ $A_i X$ the birational (co)homology group of X [Fu, §17.3]. In case σ is a transformation of X , then we denote by Y^σ the translation $\sigma(Y) \subseteq X$. We denote by Σ the smooth rational curve.

Definition-Theorem 0.1. *Let $K = \overline{K}$ be an algebraic closed field and let $X = G/P$ be a d -dimensional smooth projective variety over K that is a quotient of a linear algebraic group G by a closed subgroup P . Then for any $B \in A_1 X / \sim_{\text{alg}}$, there is a*

(group) homomorphism

$$\Phi_B(\cdot | \cdot) : \prod_{i=1}^n A^*X \times \prod_{j=1}^m A^*X \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

of which the following holds: Let $\alpha_i \in A^{d_i}X$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $\beta_j \in A^{e_j}X$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, be any cohomology classes. Then $\Phi_B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n | \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) = 0$ unless

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i + \sum_{j=1}^m e_j = c_1(X) \cdot B + d + m.$$

In this case if we further assume the Poincare dual of α_i (resp. β_j) are represented by subvariety $Y_i \subseteq X$ (resp. $Z_j \subseteq X$), then for general $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \Sigma$ and general $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, \epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_m \in G$,

$$\Phi_B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n | \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) = \sum_{f \in \Lambda} m(\Lambda, f),$$

where Λ is the scheme

$$(0.3) \quad \Lambda = \left\{ (f, w_1, \dots, w_m) \left| \begin{array}{l} f \in \text{Mor}(\Sigma, B), \quad w_1, \dots, w_m \in \Sigma \\ f(z_i) \in Y_i^{\sigma_i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n; \quad f(w_j) \in Z_j^{\epsilon_j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m. \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

(that is discrete) and $m(\Lambda, f)$ is the multiplicity of Λ at f .

Here by a property holds for general $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \Sigma$ and $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n \in G$ we mean that there is a dense open subset $U \subseteq \Sigma^n \times G^n$ such that the property holds for all $(z_1, \dots, z_n, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$ in U .

Theorem 0.2. *Let the notation be as in definition-theorem 0.1. Then the enumerative invariant Φ_B satisfies the following composition law: Let $n = n_1 + n_2$, $n_1, n_2 \geq 2$, be any partition and let $[\Delta]^\vee = \sum_{l=1}^p \zeta_l \times \tilde{\zeta}_l$ be the Kunnet decomposition¹ of the Poincare dual of the diagonal $\Delta \subseteq X \times X$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi_B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n | \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{B=B_1+B_2, \tau \in S(m) \\ 1 \leq l \leq p, 0 \leq k \leq m}} \frac{1}{k!(m-k)!} \cdot \Phi_{B_1}(\zeta_l, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n_1} | \beta_{\tau(1)}, \dots, \alpha_{\tau(k)}) \\ & \quad \cdot \Phi_{B_2}(\tilde{\zeta}_l, \alpha_{n_1+1}, \dots, \alpha_n | \beta_{\tau(k+1)}, \dots, \beta_{\tau(m)}). \end{aligned}$$

¹It is shown in [FMS²] that such decompositions always exist for the class of varieties considered in this paper.

Here $S(m)$ is the group of permutations of $\{1, \dots, m\}$.

For any smooth Fano variety Y . Ruan and the second named author defined the mixed quantum invariant

$$\Phi_{B'}^{\text{Sym}} : \prod_{i=1}^n H_*(X, \mathbb{Z}) \times \prod_{j=1}^m H_*(X, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z},$$

where $B' \in H_2(X)$, by analytic method. The homomorphism defined in this paper is compatible to $\Phi_{B'}^{\text{Sym}}$ for the class of varieties considered in this paper.

Theorem 0.3. *Let X be any smooth complex projective variety acted on transitively by a linear algebraic group and let*

$$\mu : A^p X \longrightarrow H_{2p}(X, \mathbb{Z})$$

be the homomorphism that is the composition of the Poincare dual $A^p X \rightarrow A_p X$ and the cycle map $cl : A_p X \rightarrow H_{2p}(X)$ [Fu, §9.1]. Then for any $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in A^ X$,*

$$\Phi_B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mid \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) = \Phi_{B'}^{\text{Sym}}(\mu(\alpha_1), \dots, \mu(\alpha_n) \mid \mu(\beta_1), \dots, \mu(\beta_m)),$$

where $B' = cl(B)$.

Remark. *In general, even when Φ_B can be defined as the degree of a 0-cycle (as in (0.3)), it is not obvious that it will coincide with $\Phi_{B'}^{\text{Sym}}$, originally defined as a symplectic invariant.*

Now we sketch the proof of Theorem 0.2. For simplicity, we will consider the case $m = 0$. After identifying $f \in \text{Mor}(\Sigma, B)$ with its graph $\Gamma_f \subseteq \Sigma \times X$, we can embed $\text{Mor}(\Sigma, B)$ as a dense open subset in W_B that consists of subschemes $C \subseteq \Sigma \times X$ satisfying

$$\dim C = 1, \chi(\mathcal{O}_C) = 1, [p_X(C)] \in B \text{ and } \deg(C, p_\Sigma^* \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(1)) = 1,$$

where p_Σ and p_X are projections from $\Sigma \times X$ to its factors. (Strictly speaking, W_B is the closure of $\text{Mor}(\Sigma, B)$ in the respective Hilbert scheme.) W_B is smooth at $\text{Mor}(\Sigma, B)$ since TX is generated by global sections by our assumption on $P \subseteq G$. Let C_B be the universal family and let C_B^n be the Cartesian product of n copies of C_B over W_B . For any $z \in \Sigma$ and subvariety $Y \subseteq X$, we can define an incidence subscheme

$$(0.4) \quad \Lambda_i(z, Y) = \left\{ (C, x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid \begin{array}{l} C \in W_B, x_1, \dots, x_n \in C, \\ p_\Sigma(x_i) = z \text{ and } p_X(x_i) \in Y_i \end{array} \right\}.$$

By using the general position theorem for homogeneous varieties (see Lemma 2.3), for any $Y_1, \dots, Y_n \subseteq X$ satisfying $\sum \text{codim } Y_i = c_1(X) \cdot B + d$, we can find general $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \Sigma$ and general $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n \in G$ such that the translations $Y_i^{\sigma_i}$ of Y_i are in general position in the sense that

$$(0.5) \quad \bigcap_{i=1}^n \Lambda_i(z_i, Y_i^{\sigma_i}) \subseteq \text{Mor}(\Sigma, B) \subseteq W_B$$

and is discrete and Cohen-Macaulay. Thus $\Phi_B([Y_1]^\vee, \dots, [Y_n]^\vee)$ can be defined as the degree of the zero cycle (0.5).

To establish the composition law, we need to construct a degeneration of W_B . We take a simple minded approach: Let $0 \in V$ be a smooth curve as a parameter space and let Z be the blowing-up of $\Sigma \times V$ at a point over $0 \in V$. Z is a degeneration of Σ into a nodal curve that has two components, say Σ_1 and Σ_2 . We let $\mathcal{W}_B$ be the relative Hilbert scheme of one dimensional subschemes $C \subseteq Z \times X$ obeying constraints similar to that of W_B and let $\mathcal{C}_B$ and $\mathcal{C}_B^n$ be the universal family and the product of this family over $\mathcal{W}_B$ as C_B and C_B^n do (see §3 for details). Again, for any section z of $Z \rightarrow V$ and subvariety $Y \subseteq X$, we can define an incidence subscheme $\tilde{\Lambda}_i(z, Y) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_B^n$ as

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_i(z, Y) = \left\{ (S, s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \mathcal{C}_B^n \mid \begin{array}{l} S \in \mathcal{W}_B, \ s_1, \dots, s_n \in S, \\ p_Z(s_i) \in z \text{ and } p_X(s_i) \in Y \end{array} \right\}.$$

Now let $z_1, \dots, z_n$ be n sections of $Z \rightarrow V$ of which the first n_1 sections passing through the component $\Sigma_1 \subseteq Z_0$ and the remainder passing through $\Sigma_2 \subseteq Z_0$. Since both Σ and X are homogeneous, for the $Y_1, \dots, Y_n \subseteq X$ as before, we can choose z_i and $\sigma_i \in G$ general so that the intersection

$$(0.6) \quad \bigcap_{i=1}^n \tilde{\Lambda}_i(z_i, Y_i^{\sigma_i}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_B^n$$

is flat, finite and Cohen-Macaulay over V at $0 \in V$. Hence

$$(0.7) \quad \Phi_B([Y_1]^\vee, \dots, [Y_n]^\vee) = \deg \left(\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n \tilde{\Lambda}_i(z_i, Y_i^{\sigma_i}) \right) \bigcap \mathcal{C}_{B,t}^n \right)$$

for all $t \in V$ near 0, where $\mathcal{C}_{B,t}^n$ is the fiber of $\mathcal{C}_B^n$ over $t \in V$. Now it is straight forward to check that the points of the intersection in (0.6) over $0 \in V$ are pairs of morphisms $f_1, f_2: \Sigma \rightarrow X$ satisfying properties resemble that of (0.5). Thus (0.7) can be counted based on intersection of incidence schemes in $C_{B_1} \times C_{B_2}$, where B_1 and B_2 runs through all possible $B_1 + B_2 = B$. This line of argument eventually will lead to the proof of the composition law as stated in theorem 0.2.

We should say one word about the assumption on the closedness of $P \subseteq G$. When $\text{char } K = 0$, it is equivalent to assuming G is a linear algebraic group acted on transitively on a smooth projective X . In case $\text{char } K > 0$, this condition can be relaxed by in addition to the previous assumption on G and X , we require that for any morphism $f: \Sigma \rightarrow X$ $f^*T_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(1)$ is ample. This will ensure the smoothness of $\text{Mor}(\Sigma, B)$ that is all we need in proving the composition law.

While this paper was in writing, A. Bertram [Be] and B. Crauder and R. Miranda [CM] have finished their works attacking the same problem for some classes of varieties by algebraic approach. In [Be], A. Bertram established the composition law for all Grassmannians by generalizing the classical Giambelli and Pieri's formulas. B. Crauder and R. Miranda in [CM] studied the quantum rings of rational surfaces in detail, establishing the composition law in the mean time. (I. Ciocan-Fontanine has informed us that he has constructed the quantum cohomology rings of flag varieties using algebraic geometry.) We feel that the current approach is more direct, and hopefully can be modified to prove the composition law for all smooth Fano varieties, after their enumerative invariants have been defined appropriately.

Most part of this work was completed while the second author was visiting Stanford University in early 1994, for which he is grateful to the host for providing a wonderful environment. We also like to thank W. Fulton for helpful conversation and advice.

The layout of this paper is as follows: In §1, we will give the definition of the enumerative invariants for projective varieties. §2 concerns rational curves in homogeneous variety. Finally, we will prove the composition law in §3.

1. THE DEFINITION OF THE QUANTUM INVARIANTS

In this section, we will first define the homomorphism Φ_B by pure cohomological calculation on some auxiliary spaces. Then we will show that in the ideal situation, its value is exactly the intersection of some “geometrically defined” cycles in the space of morphisms from the rational curve Σ to X , as proposed by physicists. In the end, we will compare our definition with the Symplectic invariant defined in [RT].

We begin with some words on the convention that will be used throughout this paper. Let $K = \overline{K}$ be an algebraically closed field. Let $X = G/P$ be a d -dimensional smooth projective variety and let Σ be the smooth rational curve, both defined over K . For any scheme Y , we denote by $(A^p Y)$ $A_p Y$ the p -th (co)homology group of Y . By definition, any element $\alpha \in A^p Y$ is a collection of homomorphisms $A_k Y' \rightarrow A_{k-p} Y'$, for all $Y' \rightarrow Y$ and all k , satisfying certain properties (see [Fu]). Let p_Σ and p_X be projections from $\Sigma \times X$ to Σ and X respectively.

We first embed the scheme $\text{Mor}(\Sigma, B)$ into some projective scheme, since the former usually is not complete. The way we treat this problem is to embed it into the Hilbert scheme of subschemes of $\Sigma \times X$ by identifying a morphism with its graph. For any $B \in A_1 X / \sim_{\text{alg}}$, we form the Hilbert scheme H_B of subschemes $C \subseteq \Sigma \times X$ satisfying the following constraints:

$$(1.1) \quad \dim C = 1, \chi(\mathcal{O}_C) = 1, [p_\Sigma(C)] \in B \text{ and } \deg(C, p_\Sigma^*(\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(1))) = 1.$$

By definition, each point of H_B corresponds to a complete 1-dimensional subscheme $C \subseteq \Sigma \times X$, which in the sequel will be denoted by $C \in H_B$. Let $\varphi_\Sigma : C \rightarrow \Sigma$ and $\varphi_X : C \rightarrow X$ be morphisms induced by projections p_Σ and p_X . When C is irreducible, $\deg(C, \varphi_\Sigma^*(\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(1))) = 1$ implies that at general points, C is reduced and $\varphi_\Sigma : C \rightarrow \Sigma$ is one-one. Then because $\chi(\mathcal{O}_C) = 1$, φ_Σ is an isomorphism. Hence C gives rise to a morphism

$$f_C = \varphi_X \circ \varphi_\Sigma^{-1} : \Sigma \longrightarrow X$$

with $[f_C(\Sigma)] \in B$. Also, by Riemann-Roch theorem, the expected dimension of H_B at C is

$$(1.2) \quad \rho(B) = c_1(X) \cdot B + d.$$

Now let $\text{Mor}(\Sigma, B)$ be the moduli scheme of morphisms $f : \Sigma \rightarrow X$ with $f_*([\Sigma]) \in B$. By identifying f with its graph $\Gamma_f \subseteq \Sigma \times X$, we obtain an open immersion $\text{Mor}(\Sigma, B) \subseteq H_B$. We let W_B° be the image of $\text{Mor}(\Sigma, B)$ and let W_B be the scheme theoretic closure of $W_B^\circ \subseteq H_B$. Note that $W_B^\circ \subseteq H_B$ is the open subset of points whose corresponding subschemes $C \subseteq \Sigma \times X$ are irreducible.

For technical reason, in the remainder of this section we will assume W_B has pure dimension $\rho(B)$, which is the case when X is homogeneous. Let $C_B \subseteq \Sigma \times X \times W_B$ be the universal family, flat over W_B , and let C_B^{n+m} be the Cartesian product

$$C_B^{n+m} = \underbrace{C_B \times_{W_B} \cdots \times_{W_B} C_B}_{n+m \text{ copies}},$$

where $n, m \geq 0$. Note that $\dim C_B^{n+m} = \rho(B) + n + m$ and points of C_B^{n+m} are tuples $(C, x_1, \dots, x_{n+m})$ with $C \in W_B$ and $x_i \in C$. We let $\pi_i : C_B^{n+m} \rightarrow C_B$ be the projection onto the i -th copy and let $\pi_{i\Sigma} = p_\Sigma \circ \pi_i$ and $\pi_{iX} = p_X \circ \pi_i$ be compositions. We next define a projection

$$(1.3) \quad P^{n|m} : C_B^{n+m} \longrightarrow (\Sigma \times X)^n \times X^m$$

that is the product

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^n \pi_{i\Sigma} \times \pi_{iX} \right) \times \left(\prod_{j=1}^m \pi_{n+jX} \right).$$

By letting $e_0 \in A^1\Sigma$ be the Poincare dual of a point in Σ , we get an inclusion

$$(1.4) \quad \prod_{i=1}^n A^*X \times \prod_{j=1}^m A^*X \longrightarrow A^*((\Sigma \times X)^n \times X^m)$$

that sends

$$(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) \in \prod_{i=1}^n A^*X \times \prod_{j=1}^m A^*X$$

to $(\prod^n e_0 \times \alpha_i) \times (\prod^m \beta_j)$. We then define

$$(1.5) \quad \Psi_B(\cdot | \cdot) : \prod_{i=1}^n A^*X \times \prod_{j=1}^m A^*X \longrightarrow A^*C_B^{n+m}$$

be the homomorphism that is the composition of the inclusion (1.4) with the pull-back $(P^{n|m})^*$. Alternatively, Ψ_B can be defined as

$$\Psi_B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n | \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n \pi_{i\Sigma}^* e_0 \cup \pi_{iX}^* \alpha_i \right) \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^m \pi_{n+jX}^* \beta_j \right),$$

where $\cup$ is the cup-product. By the definition of cohomology theory,

$$(1.6) \quad \Psi_B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n | \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)([C_B^{n+m}])$$

is an element in $A_*C_B^{n+m}$, where $[C_B^{n+m}] \in A_*C_B^{n+m}$ is the fundamental class. We then define

$$(1.7) \quad \Phi_B(\cdot | \cdot) : \prod_{i=1}^n A^*X \times \prod_{j=1}^m A^*X \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

to be the degree of the component of (1.6) in $A_0C_B^{n+m}$. Namely,

$$\Phi_B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n | \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) = \deg \left(\Psi_B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n | \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)([C_B^{n+m}])_{[0]} \right).$$

Φ_B will be the homomorphism mentioned in Definition-Theorem 0.1. (The enumerative invariant for $g \geq 1$ can be defined similarly except that we know no interesting examples where $\text{Mor}(\Sigma_g, B)$ has the expected dimension, besides $g = 1$ and $X = \mathbf{P}^n$.)

In the remainder of this section, we shall use the Poincare duality to express the values of (1.7) as intersections of cycles in C_B^{n+m} . Let $\alpha_i, \beta_j \in A^*X$ be the cohomology classes as before. Since Φ_B is a homomorphism, it suffices to examine the value of

Φ_B on those classes whose Poincare duals can be represented by subvarieties of X . Let $Y_1, \dots, Y_n \subseteq X$ and $Z_1, \dots, Z_m \subseteq X$ be subvarieties whose Poincare duals are $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ and $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m$ respectively. (By this we mean α_i is the Poincare dual of the cycle $[Y_i]$ and likewise for β_j .) For $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \Sigma$, we consider the subscheme

$$(1.8) \quad Y = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \{z_i\} \times Y_i \right) \times \left(\prod_{j=1}^m Z_j \right) \subseteq (\Sigma \times X)^n \times X^m$$

and the subscheme

$$(1.9) \quad \text{Int}_B\{z, Y, Z\} = (P^{n|m})^{-1}(Y) \subseteq C_B^{n+m}.$$

A moment of thought tells us that closed points of this set are tuples

$$(C, x_1, \dots, x_{n+m}), \text{ where } x_1, \dots, x_{n+m} \in C,$$

such that $p_\Sigma(x_i) = z_i$ and $p_X(x_i) \in Y_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $p_X(x_{n+j}) \in Z_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$.

Definition 1.1. We say the scheme W_B is good if W_B is a purely $\rho(B)$ -dimensional scheme and we say the collection $\{z, Y, Z\}$ is generic (with respect to W_B) if in addition to W_B being good there is a dense open subset

$$U \subseteq \text{Int}_B\{z, Y, Z\}$$

such that

- (1) U is contained in $\pi^{-1}(W_B^\circ)$, where $\pi: C_B^{n+m} \rightarrow W_B$ is the projection;
- (2) U has pure dimension $\rho(B) + m - (\sum \text{codim } Y_i + \sum \text{codim } Z_j)$ and
- (3) U is Cohen-Macaulay (smooth when $\text{char } K = 0$).

When W_B is good, $\{z, Y, Z\}$ is generic and

$$\dim C_B^{n+m} = \sum \text{codim } Y_i + \sum \text{codim } Z_j + n,$$

then the following theorem states that $\Phi_B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mid \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)$ is the length (the number of points when $\text{char } K = 0$) of the finite scheme

$$\text{Int}_B\{z, Y, Z\}.$$

(The length of a finite scheme is the length of its coordinate ring as K -module.)

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ and $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in A^*X$ be cohomology classes whose Poincare duals are represented by subvarieties $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$ and $Z_1, \dots, Z_m \subseteq X$ respectively. Let $B \in A_1X / \sim_{\text{alg}}$. Assume W_B is good, the collection $\{z, Y, Z\}$ is generic and*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \text{codim } Y_i + \sum_{j=1}^m \text{codim } Z_j = \rho(B) + m,$$

then

$$\Phi_B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mid \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) = \text{length}(\text{Int}_B\{z, Y, Z\}).$$

When $\text{char } K = 0$, then it is $\#(\Lambda)$, where Λ is as in Definition-Theorem 0.1.

Proof. The first part follows directly from the formal properties of A^*X and the Poincare duality [Fu, §17.4]: Let Y be the subvariety in (1.8). Since the Poincare dual $[Y]^\vee$ is

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^n e_0 \times \alpha_i\right) \times \left(\prod_{j=1}^m \beta_j\right) \in A^*\left((\Sigma \times X)^n \times X^m\right),$$

$\Psi_B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mid \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) = (P^{n|m})^*([Y]^\vee)$. By assumption, $(P^{n|m})^{-1}(Y)$ is contained in $\pi^{-1}(W_B^\circ)$ and has codimension equal to the codimension of Y . Hence by [Fu, §17.4 and §8.3],

$$(P^{n|m})^*([Y]^\vee)[C_B^{n+m}] = [(P^{n|m})^{-1}(Y)] \in A_0 C_B^{n+m}$$

and its degree is the length of $(P^{n|m})^{-1}(Y)$, because it is Cohen-Macaulay. This proves the first part of theorem. Next we assume $(P^{n|m})^{-1}(Y)$ is smooth. Then its length is just the number of points in it. However, elements $(C, x_1, \dots, x_{n+m})$ in C_B^{n+m} is in $(P^{n|m})^{-1}(Y)$ if and only if $p_\Sigma(x_i) = z_i$ and $p_X(x_i) \in Y_i$ for $i \leq n$ and $p_X(x_{n+j}) \in Z_j$ for $j \leq m$. Thus $\text{Int}_B\{z, Y, Z\}$ is isomorphic to Λ as sets. Therefore, the length of $\text{Int}_B\{z, Y, Z\}$ is equal to $\#(\Lambda)$, since it is smooth. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Corollary 1.3. *Let the notation be as in theorem 1.2 except that K is the field of complex numbers. Then*

$$\Phi_B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mid \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) = \Phi_{[B]}^{\text{Sym}}(\mu(\alpha_1), \dots, \mu(\alpha_n) \mid \mu(\beta_1), \dots, \mu(\beta_m)),$$

where $\mu: A^p X \rightarrow H_{2p}(X)$ is the map defined in Theorem 0.3 and $\Phi_{[B]}^{\text{Sym}}$ is the mixed quantum invariants defined in [RT].

Proof. Let (X^{top}, J_t) , $t \in [0, 1]$, be a general (continuous) family of almost complex structures satisfying $(X^{\text{top}}, J_0) = X$. We introduce

$$\Lambda_t = \left\{ (f, w_1, \dots, w_m) \left| \begin{array}{l} f: \Sigma \rightarrow X^{\text{top}} \text{ is } J_t\text{-holomorphic; } w_1, \dots, w_m \in \Sigma; \\ f(z_i) \in Y_i, \ 1 \leq i \leq n; \ f(w_j) \in Z_j, \ 1 \leq j \leq m \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

Since $\{z, Y, Z\}$ is generic, $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda$ is smooth. It is proved in [RT] that $\cup_{t \in [0, 1]} \Lambda_t$ is a corbordism between Λ_0 and Λ_1 . Therefore,

$$\Phi_{[B]}^{\text{Sym}}(\mu(\alpha_1), \dots, \mu(\alpha_n) \mid \mu(\beta_1), \dots, \mu(\beta_m)) = \deg(\Lambda_1)$$

is exactly $\#(\Lambda_0)$, which is $\Phi_B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mid \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)$ by Theorem 1.2. This completes the proof of the corollary and Theorem 0.3. $\square$

2. RATIONAL CURVES IN HOMOGENEOUS MANIFOLDS

In this section, we will collect some facts about rational curves in homogeneous varieties that are essential to the proof of the composition law. Some of these results are standard and can be found in literatures. For the convenience of the readers, we will state them in accordance with our application and will give reference or proof when necessary.

We continue to use the notion developed in section 1. Namely, $X = G/P$ is a smooth d -dimensional homogeneous variety, Σ is the smooth rational curve, $B \in A_1 X / \sim_{\text{alg}}$ and $\rho(B) = c_1(X) \cdot B + d$. As before, we let H_B be the Hilbert scheme of curves $C \subseteq \Sigma \times X$ satisfying (1.1) and let $W_B^\circ \subseteq W_B \subseteq H_B$ be subsets defined after (1.2). We still denote by p_Σ and p_X the first and second projection of $\Sigma \times X$ and for curve $C \subseteq \Sigma \times X$ we denote by φ_Σ and φ_X the induced morphism from C to Σ and X respectively.

We begin with a quick review of smoothness criterion of Hilbert schemes.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose G is a linear algebraic group over K and $X = G/P$ with $P \subseteq G$ closed. Then W_B° is a smooth quasi-projective scheme of pure dimension $\rho(B)$.*

Proof. This is an easy consequence of deformation theory. Let $w \in W_B^\circ$ be any point corresponding to the subscheme $C \subseteq \Sigma \times X$. Since C is irreducible, C is a smooth rational curve. Then according to [Gr, p21], the tangent space of W_B° at w is

$$(2.1) \quad \text{Hom}(I_C/I_C^2, \mathcal{O}_C),$$

where I_C is the ideal sheaf of $C \subseteq \Sigma \times X$. Further W_B° is smooth at w if the obstruction

$$(2.2) \quad \text{Ext}^1(I_C/I_C^2, \mathcal{O}_C) = 0.$$

Since $\varphi_\Sigma: C \rightarrow \Sigma$ is an isomorphism, $I_C \cong \varphi_X^* \Omega_X$. On the other hand, $\Omega_X^\vee$ is generated by global sections since G is a linear algebraic group and $P \subseteq G$ is closed [Sp, 5.2.3]. Therefore, (2.2) must be trivial. Finally, using Riemann-Roch theorem we calculate the dimension of (2.1) to be $\rho(B)$, because of (2.2). This proves the lemma. $\square$

We now use generic position result to show that for homogeneous variety the homomorphism Φ_B can be defined as in Definition-Theorem 0.1.

Proposition 2.2. *Let X and G be as in Lemma 2.1 and let $B \in A_1 X / \sim_{\text{alg}}$. Assume $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$ and $Z_1, \dots, Z_m \subseteq X$ are subvarieties satisfying*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \text{codim } Y_i + \sum_{j=1}^m \text{codim } Z_j = \rho(B) + m,$$

then for general

$$(z_1, \dots, z_n, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, \epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_m) \in \Sigma^n \times G^n \times G^m,$$

the tuple $\{z, Y^\sigma, Z^\epsilon\}$ is in generic position with respect to W_B as defined in Definition-Theorem 0.1.

The tool we need in studying translations of subvarieties is the following general position result [Kl].

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose a connected algebraic group H acts transitively on a variety W over K . Let $f: Y \rightarrow W$, $g: Z \rightarrow W$ be morphisms of varieties Y, Z to W . For each point σ in H , let Y^σ denote Y with the morphism $\sigma \circ f$ from Y to W . Then*

(1) *for general $\sigma \in G^\circ$, $Y^\sigma \times_W Z$ is either empty or of pure dimension*

$$\dim(Y) + \dim(Z) - \dim(W),$$

(2) *if Y and Z are non-singular, and $\text{char}(K) = 0$ (resp. $\text{char}(K) > 0$), then for general $\sigma \in G^\circ$, $Y^\sigma \times_W Z$ is non-singular (resp. Cohen-Macaulay).*

Note that (1) of the lemma applies to any scheme Y and Z if we replace of pure dimension by of dimension at most. Here for any scheme Y by dimension of Y we mean the maximum of the dimensions of its irreducible components.

Proof of Proposition 2.2. Consider the projection

$$P^{n|m} : C_B^{n+m} \longrightarrow (\Sigma \times X)^n \times X^m$$

defined in (1.3) and the subvariety

$$\tilde{Y} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \{z_i\} \times Y_i^{\sigma_i} \right) \times \left(\prod_{j=1}^m Z_j^{\epsilon_j} \right) \subseteq (\Sigma \times X)^n \times X^m.$$

Since $W_B^\circ \subseteq W_B$ is dense, both have dimensions $\rho(B)$, by lemma 2.1. Hence the dimension of C_B^{n+m} is the same as the codimension of $\tilde{Y}$ in $(\Sigma \times X)^n \times X^m$, by assumption on dimensions of Y_i 's and Z_j 's. However, $(\Sigma \times X)^n \times X^m$ is acted on transitively by $(PGL(2) \times G)^n \times G^m$. Therefore, for general

$$(z_1, \dots, z_n, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, \epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_m) \in \Sigma^n \times G^n \times G^m,$$

the scheme

$$\text{Int}_B\{z, Y^{\sigma}, Z^{\epsilon}\} = (P^{n|m})^{-1}(\tilde{Y})$$

is discrete, is contained in $\pi^{-1}(W_B^\circ)$ and is Cohen-Macaulay (smooth when $\text{char } K = 0$), by repeatedly applying Lemma 2.3. This shows that the tuple $\{z, Y^{\sigma}, Z^{\epsilon}\}$ is in generic position with respect to W_B . $\square$

Corollary 2.4. *Let X be as in Lemma 2.1. Then for any $B \in A_1 X / \sim_{\text{alg}}$ the enumerative invariant*

$$\Phi_B(\cdot \mid \cdot) : \prod_{i=1}^n A^* X \times \prod_{j=1}^m A^* X \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

can be defined as in Definition-Theorem 0.1

Another smoothness criterion we need concerns the relative Hilbert scheme $\mathcal{W}_B$ mentioned in the introduction. The exact formulation of this scheme will be given in the next section. Here, we will prove a lemma that will be useful in studying its smoothness. Let $0 \in V$ be a smooth curve with uniformizing parameter t and let Z be a blowing-up of $\Sigma \times V$ along a point in $\Sigma \times \{0\}$. Z is a flat family of curves over V . We denote its fiber over $0 \in V$ by Z_0 .

Lemma 2.5. *Let $X = G/P$ be as in Lemma 2.1. Assume $C \subseteq Z \times X$ is a curve contained in $Z_0 \times X$ that is isomorphic to Z_0 via projection $p_Z : Z \times X \rightarrow Z$,*

- (1) *then $\text{Ext}_C^1(I_C/I_C^2, \mathcal{O}_C) = 0$, where I_C the ideal sheaf of $C \subseteq Z \times X$;*
- (2) *For $T_2 \subseteq V$, where $T_2 = \text{Spec } K[t]/(t^2)$, there is a flat deformation C_2 of C contained in $Z \times X$ over T_2 that makes the following diagram commutative and the left square a Cartesian product:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C & \subseteq & C_2 & \subseteq & Z \times X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \in & T_2 & \subseteq & V. \end{array}$$

Proof. Since $\varphi_{Z_0} : C \rightarrow Z_0$ induced by p_Z is an isomorphism, C is the graph of $p_X \circ \varphi_{Z_0}^{-1} : Z_0 \rightarrow X$. Hence if we let $\mathcal{I}_C$ be the ideal sheaf of $C \subseteq Z_0 \times X$, then $\mathcal{I}_C$ is isomorphic to $\varphi_X^* \Omega_X^\vee$ and I_C/I_C^2 belongs to the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \longrightarrow I_C/I_C^2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_C/\mathcal{I}_C^2 \longrightarrow 0.$$

Hence (1) follows because $\text{Ext}_C^1(\mathcal{I}_C/\mathcal{I}_C^2, \mathcal{O}_C)$ and $\text{Ext}_C^1(\mathcal{O}_C, \mathcal{O}_C)$ are trivial.

Next we prove (2). Because C is a graph of $Z_0 \rightarrow X$, C is a local complete intersection. Hence locally $C \subseteq Z \times X$ can be extended to curves in $Z \times X$ flat over T_2 . By deformation theory (see for example [Gr, p21] or [Ko, §1.2]), the obstruction to the existence of such C_2 lies in $\text{Ext}_C^1(\mathcal{I}_C/\mathcal{I}_C^2, \mathcal{O}_C)$, which is trivial by the previous argument. This prove the second part of the lemma. $\square$

We now state and prove our main technical lemma concerning the set of curves in $Z_0 \times X$ that intersect with a set of prescribed subvarieties. Here Z_0 as before is a nodal curve that is the union of two rational curves Σ_1 and Σ_2 intersecting along $x_0 \in \Sigma_1$ and $y_0 \in \Sigma_2$. We fix subvarieties $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$ and $Z_1, \dots, Z_m \subseteq X$. For integer k and $l \geq 1$, we define $\mathcal{R}_k^l$ to be the set of all reduced, connected curves $C \subseteq Z_0 \times X$ such that in addition to C being unions of exactly l rational curves,

$$(2.3) \quad \deg(C, p_{Z_0}^* \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\Sigma_1}(1)) = \deg(C, p_{Z_0}^* \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\Sigma_2}(1)) = 1, \quad \deg(C, p_X^*(-K_X)) = k.$$

Here $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\Sigma_1}(1)$ is the invertible sheaf on Z_0 having degree 1 along Σ_1 and 0 along Σ_2 , likewise for $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\Sigma_2}(1)$. Further, for fixed partition $n = n_1 + n_2$, $n_1, n_2 \geq 0$, and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n_1}) \in \Sigma_1^{n_1}$, $y = (y_1, \dots, y_{n_2}) \in \Sigma_2^{n_2}$, $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) \in G^n$ and $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_m) \in G^m$, we define

$$\mathcal{R}_k^l(\sigma, \epsilon) = \left\{ C \in \mathcal{R}_k^l \mid \begin{array}{l} C \cap \{x_i\} \times Y_i^{\sigma_i} \neq \emptyset \ \forall 1 \leq i \leq n_1; \ C \cap \{y_j\} \times Y_{n_1+j}^{\sigma_{n_1+j}} \neq \emptyset \\ \forall 1 \leq j \leq n_2; \ C \cap (Z_0 \times Z_h^{\epsilon_h}) \neq \emptyset \ \forall 1 \leq h \leq m. \end{array} \right\}.$$

Proposition 2.6. *With the notation as before. Then for general $(x, y, \sigma, \epsilon) \in \Sigma_1^{n_1} \times \Sigma_2^{n_2} \times G^n \times G^m$, the set $\mathcal{R}_k^l(\sigma, \epsilon)$ satisfies*

$$\dim \mathcal{R}_k^l(\sigma, \epsilon) \leq k + d + m - (l - 2) - \sum_{i=1}^n \text{codim}_X Y_i - \sum_{j=1}^m \text{codim}_X Z_j.$$

Corollary 2.7. *Let $X = G/P$ and $Y_1, \dots, Y_n, Z_1, \dots, Z_m \subseteq X$ be as before such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \text{codim}_X Y_i + \sum_{j=1}^m \text{codim}_X Z_j = k + d + m,$$

where $k \geq 1$. Then for any partition $n = n_1 + n_2$, there is a dense open subset

$$U \subseteq \Sigma_1^{n_1} \times \Sigma_2^{n_2} \times G^n \times G^m$$

such that for any $(x, y, \sigma, \epsilon) \in U$

- (1) $\mathcal{R}_{k_0}^l(\sigma, \epsilon) = \emptyset$ for all $k_0 < k$ and $l \geq 1$;
- (2) $\mathcal{R}_k^l(\sigma, \epsilon) = \emptyset$ for all $l \geq 3$;
- (3) $\mathcal{R}_k^2(\sigma, \epsilon)$ is a finite set and further for any element $C \in \mathcal{R}_k^2(\sigma, \epsilon)$, $C \cap (Z_0 \times Z_j^{\epsilon_j})$ is contained in the smooth locus of C and

$$C \cap (Z_0 \times (Z_{j_1}^{\epsilon_{j_1}} \cap Z_{j_2}^{\epsilon_{j_2}})) = \emptyset$$

for all $j_1 \neq j_2$.

Before we prove this proposition, we need to study curves in $\Sigma \times X$. We first consider the case where W is a variety acted on transitively by a linear algebraic group H and $S_1, \dots, S_h$ are subvarieties of W . Let $\mathcal{S}_k^l$ be the set of degree k (calculated using $c_1(W)$) reduced connected curves $C \subseteq X$ that are unions of exactly l rational curves and let

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{S}_k^l(S_i \mid i \in \Lambda) = \{C \in \mathcal{S}_k^l \mid C \cap S_i \neq \emptyset \text{ for } i \in \Lambda\},$$

where Λ is the set $\{1, \dots, h\}$.

Lemma 2.8. *Let W and S_i be as before. Then for general $v = (v_1, \dots, v_h) \in H^h$,*

$$\dim \mathcal{S}_k^l(S_i^{v_i} \mid i \in \Lambda) \leq k + \dim W - (l + 2) - \sum_{i \in \Lambda} (\text{codim } S_i - 1).$$

Proof. We will prove the lemma by induction on l . We begin with $\mathcal{S}_k^1(S_i \mid i \in \Lambda)$. Let

$$Z = \{(x, C) \mid C \in \mathcal{S}_k^1, x \in C^h\}$$

be endowed with the obvious reduced scheme structure and let $f: Z \rightarrow W^h$ be the morphism that sends (x, C) to $x \in W^h$ via $C^h \subseteq W^h$. Also, we let $Y = \prod_{i \in \Lambda} S_i$ and let $g: Y \rightarrow W^h$ the obvious inclusion. Note that H^h acts transitively on W^h . For any $v = (v_1, \dots, v_h) \in H^h$, any elements

$$((x, C), y) \in Z \times_{W^h} Y^v$$

satisfies $x_i = v_i(y_i)$ for all $i \in \Lambda$, by the definition of Cartesian product. Hence $C \cap v_i(S_i) \neq \emptyset$ and then $C \in \mathcal{S}_k^1(S_i^{v_i} \mid i \in \Lambda)$. Now let $P: Z \times_{W^h} Y^v \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_k^1$ be the map sending $((x, C), y)$ to C . It is easy to see that

$$\mathcal{S}_k^1(S_i^{v_i} \mid i \in \Lambda) = P(Z \times_{W^h} Y^v).$$

Finally, after applying Lemma 2.3 to morphism f and g , we conclude that for general $v \in H^h$, the set $Z \times_{W^h} Y^v$ and then $\mathcal{S}_k^l(S_i^v \mid i \in \Lambda)$ have dimensions at most

$$\dim Z + \sum_{i \in \Lambda} \dim S_i - h \dim W = k + \dim W - 3 - \sum_{i \in \Lambda} (\operatorname{codim} S_i - 1).$$

Here we have used the fact that $\dim \mathcal{S}_k^1 \leq k + \dim W - 3$ that follows from Lemma 2.1. This proves the lemma for $l = 1$.

Next, we prove the lemma for $l > 1$. Let $1 \leq k_1 < k$ be any integer and let $\Lambda_1 \subseteq \Lambda$ be any subset. For $v = (v_1, \dots, v_h) \in H^h$, we consider the subset

$$\Gamma(k_1, \Lambda_1, v) \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{k_1}^{l-1}(S_i^{v_i} \mid i \in \Lambda_1) \times \mathcal{S}_{k_2}^1(S_i^{v_i} \mid i \in \Lambda_2)$$

that consists of pairs of curves (C_1, C_2) such that $C_1 \cap C_2 \neq \emptyset$ and let

$$\Gamma(k_1, \Lambda_1, v)^0 \subseteq \Gamma(k_1, \Lambda_1, v)$$

be the subset of those (C_1, C_2) such that C_1 and C_2 share no common components. Here $k_2 = k - k_1$, $\Lambda_2 = \Lambda - \Lambda_1$ and $\mathcal{S}_{k_1}^{l-1}(S_i^{v_i} \mid i \in \Lambda_1)$ and $\mathcal{S}_{k_2}^1(S_i^{v_i} \mid i \in \Lambda_2)$ are defined as in (2.4). Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the map

$$\mathcal{F} : \Gamma(k_1, \Lambda_1, v)^0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_k^l(S_i^{v_i} \mid i \in \Lambda)$$

sending $(C_1, C_2) \in \Gamma(k_1, \Lambda_1, v)^0$ to $C_1 \cup C_2$. Clearly

$$\bigcup_{1 \leq k_1 < k, \Lambda_1 \subseteq \Lambda} \mathcal{F}(\Gamma(k_1, \Lambda_1, v)^0) = \mathcal{S}_k^l(S_i^{v_i} \mid i \in \Lambda),$$

since every element $C \in \mathcal{S}_k^l(S_i^{v_i} \mid i \in \Lambda)$ splits into $C_1 \cup C_2$ with C_1 irreducible and C_2 connected. Hence to prove the lemma it suffices to show that for any choice of k_1 and Λ_1 ,

$$(2.5) \quad \dim \Gamma(k_1, \Lambda_1, v) \leq k + \dim W - (l + 2) - \sum_{i \in \Lambda} (\operatorname{codim} Y_i - 1)$$

holds for general $v \in H^h$.

Now we prove (2.5). Without loss of generality, we can assume $\Lambda_1 = \{1, \dots, r\}$ and then $\Lambda_2 = \{r + 1, \dots, h\}$. By induction hypothesis, we can find a non-empty open subset $U_1 \subseteq H^r$ such that for $v' = (v_1, \dots, v_r) \in U_1$,

$$(2.6) \quad \dim \mathcal{S}_{k_1}^{l-1}(S_i^{v_i} \mid i \leq r) \leq k_1 + \dim W - ((l - 1) + 2) - \sum_{i=1}^r (\operatorname{codim} S_i - 1).$$

For similar reason, we can find a non-empty open subset $U_2 \subseteq H^{n-r}$ such that for any $v'' = (v_{r+1}, \dots, v_n) \in U_2$,

$$(2.7) \quad \dim \mathcal{S}_{k_2}^1(S_i^{v_i} \mid i \geq r+1) \leq k_2 + \dim W - (1+2) - \sum_{i=r+1}^h (\operatorname{codim} S_i - 1).$$

As before, we consider the set

$$\mathcal{Z}_1 = \{(v', z_1, C_1) \mid v' \in U_1, C_1 \in \mathcal{S}_{k_1}^{l-1}(S_i^{v_i} \mid i \leq r) \text{ and } z_1 \in C_1\}$$

and $f_1: \mathcal{Z}_1 \rightarrow W$ that is the map sending (v', z_1, C_1) to z_1 . Similarly, we let $\mathcal{Z}_2$ be the set

$$\mathcal{Z}_2 = \{(v'', z_2, C_2) \mid v'' \in U_2, C_2 \in \mathcal{S}_{k_2}^1(S_i^{v_i} \mid i \geq r+1) \text{ and } z_2 \in C_2\}$$

and let $f_2: \mathcal{Z}_2 \rightarrow X$ be the map sending (v'', z_2, C_2) to z_2 . Since $\mathcal{Z}_1$ and $\mathcal{Z}_2$ are finite unions of varieties (both endowed with reduced scheme structures) and f_1 and f_2 are morphisms, we can apply Lemma 2.3 to conclude that there is a non-empty open subset $H^\circ \subseteq H$ such that for $\tau \in H^\circ$,

$$\dim \mathcal{Z}_1^\tau \times_W \mathcal{Z}_2 \leq \dim \mathcal{Z}_1 + \dim \mathcal{Z}_2 - \dim W.$$

Combined with (2.6) and (2.7), we obtain

$$(2.8) \quad \dim \mathcal{Z}_1^\tau \times_W \mathcal{Z}_2 \leq k + \dim W + h \dim H - (l+2) - \sum_{i \in \Lambda} (\operatorname{codim} S_i - 1).$$

Finally, let

$$\mathcal{H}: \mathcal{Z}_1^\tau \times_W \mathcal{Z}_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_{k_1}^{l-1}(S_i^{v_i} \mid i \leq r) \times \mathcal{S}_{k_2}^1(S_i^{v_i} \mid i \geq r+1) \times H^h$$

be the map sending

$$\{(v', z_1, C_1), (v'', z_2, C_2)\} \in \mathcal{Z}_1^\tau \times_W \mathcal{Z}_2$$

to $(\tau(C_1), C_2, (v', v''))$. Since $\tau(z_1) = z_2$, $\tau(C_1) \cap C_2 \neq \emptyset$ and vice versa if $C_1 \cap C_2 \neq \emptyset$, then there are $z_1 \in \tau^{-1}(C_1)$ and $z_2 \in C_2$ such that $\tau(z_1) = z_2$. Hence the image of $\mathcal{H}$ is exactly

$$\bigcup_{v \in U_1^\tau \times U_2} \Gamma(k_1, \Lambda_1, \epsilon) \times \{v\},$$

where U_1^τ is the translation of U_1 under τ acting diagonally on H^r . Therefore

$$\dim \bigcup_{v \in U_1^\tau \times U_2} \Gamma(k_1, \Lambda_1, v) \times \{v\} \leq k + \dim W + h \dim H - (l+2) - \sum_{i \in \Lambda} (\operatorname{codim} S_i - 1),$$

by (2.8). However, since $\cup_{\tau \in H^\circ} U_1^\tau \times U_2$ is dense in H^h , there is an open subset $U \subseteq H^h$ such that for any $v \in U$,

$$\dim \Gamma(k_1, \Lambda_1, v) \leq k + \dim W - (l + 2) - \sum_{i \in \Lambda} (\text{codim } S_i - 1)$$

as desired. This completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

In applying Lemma 2.8 to prove Proposition 2.6, we will consider the case $W = \Sigma \times X$ and the set $\mathcal{T}_k^l$ that consists of curves C that are reduced, connected and are unions of exactly l rational curves such that

$$\deg(p_\Sigma^* \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(1), C) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \deg(p_X^*(-K_X), C) = k.$$

Proof of Proposition 2.6. We first introduce more sets. Let $0 \leq k_1 \leq k$, $l_1 \geq 1$ be integers and $\Lambda_1 \subseteq \Lambda$ be any subset, where $\Lambda = \{1, \dots, m\}$ this time. For $\sigma \in G^n$ and $\epsilon \in G^m$, we define $\mathcal{T}_{k_1}^{l_1}(\sigma, \epsilon, \Lambda_1) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{k_1}^{l_1}$ to be the subset of curves $C \in \mathcal{T}_{k_1}^{l_1}$ such that

$$(2.9) \quad C \cap (\{x_i\} \times Y_i^{\sigma_i}) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n_1 \quad \text{and} \quad C \cap (\Sigma_1 \times Z_j^{\epsilon_j}) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall j \in \Lambda_1.$$

We let $k_2 = k - k_1$ and $\Lambda_2 = \Lambda - \Lambda_1$. For any $l_2 \geq 1$, we define $\mathcal{T}_{k_2}^{l_2}(\sigma, \epsilon, \Lambda_2) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{k_2}^{l_2}(\sigma, \epsilon, \Lambda_2)$ to be the subset of curves $C \in \mathcal{T}_{k_2}^{l_2}$ such that C satisfy (2.9) with $\{x_i\} \times Y_i^{\sigma_i}$ (resp. n_1 , resp. Λ_1) replaced by $\{y_i\} \times Y_{n_1+i}^{\sigma_{n_1+i}}$ (resp. n_2 ; resp. Λ_2). Similar to the proof of Lemma 2.7, we consider

$$\Gamma(k_1, l_1, l_2, \sigma, \epsilon, \Lambda_1) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{k_1}^{l_1}(\sigma, \epsilon, \Lambda_1) \times \mathcal{T}_{k_2}^{l_2}(\sigma, \epsilon, \Lambda_2)$$

that is the set of pairs (C_1, C_2) such that $\tilde{C}_1 \cap \tilde{C}_2 \neq \emptyset$, where $\tilde{C}_i \subseteq Z_0 \times X$ is the image of $C_i \subseteq \Sigma \times X$ under $\Sigma \times X = \Sigma_i \times X \subseteq Z_0 \times X$. (Here we fix an isomorphism $\Sigma = \Sigma_i$ once and for all.) We let

$$\Gamma(k_1, l_1, l_2, \sigma, \epsilon, \Lambda_1)^0 \subseteq \Gamma(k_1, l_1, l_2, \sigma, \epsilon, \Lambda_1)$$

be the subset consisting of pairs (C_1, C_2) such that $\tilde{C}_1$ and $\tilde{C}_2$ have no common components. Because of (2.3), every element $C \in \mathcal{R}_k^l(\sigma, \epsilon)$ splits into two connected curves $C_1 \subseteq \Sigma_1 \times X$ and $C_2 \subseteq \Sigma_2 \times X$ whose number of components add up to l . Thus the map $\mathcal{H}$ that sends (C_1, C_2) to $\tilde{C}_1 \cup \tilde{C}_2$ satisfies

$$\bigcup_{\substack{l_1+l_2=l, 0 \leq k_1 \leq k \\ \Lambda_1 \subseteq \Lambda}} \mathcal{H}(\Gamma(k_1, l_1, l_2, \sigma, \epsilon, \Lambda_1)^0) = \mathcal{R}_k^l(\sigma, \epsilon).$$

Therefore, to prove the lemma it suffices to show that for general $(x, y, \sigma, \epsilon) \in \Sigma_1^{n_1} \times \Sigma_2^{n_2} \times G^n \times G^m$,

$$(2.10) \quad \dim \Gamma(k_1, l_1, l_2, \sigma, \epsilon, \Lambda_1) \leq k + d + m - (l - 2) - \sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{codim} Y_i - \sum_{j=1}^m \operatorname{codim} Z_j.$$

The proof of this inequality is again based on Lemma 2.3 as we did in the proof of Lemma 2.8. First, by Lemma 2.3, there is a non-empty open subset $U \subseteq \Sigma_1^{n_1} \times \Sigma_2^{n_2} \times G^n \times G^m$ such that for any $(x, y, \sigma, \epsilon) \in U$,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathcal{T}_{k_1}^{l_1}(\sigma, \epsilon, \Lambda_1) &\leq k_1 + d + 1 - l_1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \operatorname{codim} Y_i - \sum_{j \in \Lambda_1} (\operatorname{codim} Z_j - 1) \\ \dim \mathcal{T}_{k_2}^{l_2}(\sigma, \epsilon, \Lambda_2) &\leq k_2 + d + 1 - l_2 - \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \operatorname{codim} Y_{n_1+i} - \sum_{j \in \Lambda_2} (\operatorname{codim} Z_j - 1). \end{aligned}$$

(Note that element in $\mathcal{T}_k^l$ have degree $2+k$ according to the convention in Lemma 2.8.) Now, let $\mathcal{Z}_1$ and $\mathcal{Z}_2$ be the sets defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_1 &= \{(z_1, C_1) \mid C_1 \in \mathcal{T}_{k_1}^{l_1}(\sigma, \epsilon, \Lambda_1), z_1 \in C_1 \cap \{x_0\} \times X\}, \\ \mathcal{Z}_2 &= \{(z_2, C_2) \mid C_2 \in \mathcal{T}_{k_2}^{l_2}(\sigma, \epsilon, \Lambda_2), z_2 \in C_2 \cap \{y_0\} \times X\}, \end{aligned}$$

and f_i , $i = 1, 2$, be maps from $\mathcal{Z}_i$ to X sending (z_i, C_i) to z_i . f_1 and f_2 are morphisms after we endow $\mathcal{Z}_1$ and $\mathcal{Z}_2$ with the reduced scheme structures. Note that the map

$$\mathcal{Z}_1 \times \mathcal{Z}_2 \rightarrow \Gamma(k_1, l_1, l_2, \sigma, \epsilon, \Lambda_1)$$

sending $\{(z_1, C_1), (z_2, C_2)\}$ to (C_1, C_2) is surjective. Thus to establish (2.10) it suffices to show

$$(2.11) \quad \dim \mathcal{Z}_1 \times_X \mathcal{Z}_2 \leq k + d + m - (l - 2) - \sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{codim} Y_i - \sum_{j=1}^m \operatorname{codim} Z_j.$$

We will prove this by using Lemma 2.3.

Since Σ is homogeneous, possibly after shrinking U if necessary, we can assume

$$\dim \mathcal{Z}_1 = \dim \mathcal{T}_{k_1}^{l_1}(\sigma, \epsilon, \Lambda_1) \text{ and } \dim \mathcal{Z}_2 = \dim \mathcal{T}_{k_2}^{l_2}(\sigma, \epsilon, \Lambda_2).$$

However, since X is homogeneous there is a non-empty open set $U_0 \subseteq U \times G$ such that for any $(x, y, \sigma, \epsilon, \tau) \in U_0$,

$$\dim \mathcal{Z}_1^\tau \times_X \mathcal{Z}_2 \leq \dim \mathcal{Z}_1 + \dim \mathcal{Z}_2 - \dim X,$$

by Lemma 2.3. Put them together, we get

$$(2.12) \quad \dim \mathcal{Z}_1^\tau \times_X \mathcal{Z}_2 \leq k + d + m - (l - 2) - \sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{codim} Y_i - \sum_{j=1}^m \operatorname{codim} Z_j,$$

since $l_1 + l_2 = l$. We now show (2.12) leads to (2.10). The argument is a repetition of that of Lemma 2.4 by exploiting the homogeneity of X . One can show that $\mathcal{Z}_1^\tau \times_X \mathcal{Z}_2$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{Z}'_1 \times_X \mathcal{Z}_2$, where $\mathcal{Z}'_1$ is defined as that of $\mathcal{Z}_1$ with

$$(2.13) \quad \sigma_i \text{ replaced by } \tau \cdot \sigma_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n_1 \text{ and } \epsilon_j \text{ replaced by } \tau \cdot \epsilon_j \text{ for } j \in \Lambda_1.$$

Since (2.12) hold for all $(x, y, \sigma, \epsilon, \tau) \in U_0$, (2.11) will hold for $(x, y, \sigma', \epsilon') \in \Sigma_1^{n_1} \times \Sigma_2^{n_2} \times G^n \times G^m$, where σ' and ϵ' are derived from $(x, y, \sigma, \epsilon, \tau)$ by the rule (2.13). However, all such $(x, y, \sigma', \epsilon')$ still form a dense open subset of $\Sigma_1^{n_1} \times \Sigma_2^{n_2} \times G^n \times G^m$. Therefore, (2.11) holds for general $(x, y, \sigma', \epsilon')$ and the proposition follows. $\square$

3. COMPOSITION LAW

The composition law for Φ_B runs as follows: Suppose the Poincare dual of the diagonal $\Delta \subseteq X \times X$ admits a Kunneth decomposition

$$(3.1) \quad [\Delta]^\vee = \sum_{l=1}^p \zeta_l \times \tilde{\zeta}_l$$

then for any partition $n = n_1 + n_2$ with $n_1, n_2 \geq 2$,

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Phi_B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mid \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{B=B_1+B_2, \tau \in S(m) \\ 0 \leq k \leq m, 1 \leq l \leq p}} \frac{1}{k!(m-k)!} \cdot \Phi_{B_1}(\zeta_l, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n_1} \mid \beta_{\tau(1)}, \dots, \beta_{\tau(k)}) \\ & \quad \times \Phi_{B_2}(\tilde{\zeta}_l, \alpha_{n_1+1}, \dots, \alpha_n \mid \beta_{\tau(k+1)}, \dots, \beta_{\tau(m)}). \end{aligned}$$

The first mathematical proof of this formula for smooth Fano manifolds is due to [RT], where they proved this relation (for all $g \geq 0$) by using analytic method. The algebraic approach for rational surfaces and Grassmannian appeared recently in [CM, Be]. In this section, we will prove the relation (3.2) (for $g = 0$) for all homogeneous varieties over K by degeneration methods in algebraic geometry. (Note that by work of [FMS²], the Kunneth decomposition always exists for the varieties considered in Theorem 0.2.)

We keep the notation developed in the previous sections. Namely, $X = G/P$ is a dimension d smooth homogeneous variety over K for a linear algebraic group G and

a closed subgroup $P \subseteq G$. Let $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$ and $Z_1, \dots, Z_m$ be subvarieties of X and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ and $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in A^*X$ be the Poincare duals of the cycles represented by these subvarieties. We denote $e_0 \in A^1\Sigma$ the poincare dual to a point in Σ . We agree that by a subvariety $Y \subseteq X$ in general position we mean Y is a general member among all translations $\{Y^\sigma \mid \sigma \in G\}$. Let $B \in A_1X/\sim_{\text{alg}}$.

We first introduce the degeneration of the Hilbert scheme W_B . Let V be a rational curve as a parameter space and let $0 \in V$ be fixed. We form a family of curves Z over V by blowing up a point in $\Sigma \times V$ lying over $0 \in V$. Let $\pi: Z \rightarrow V$ be the projection and let $Z_v = \pi^{-1}(v)$ be the fiber over $v \in V$. Z_v is isomorphic to Σ for $v \neq 0$ and Z_0 is a union of two rational curves, which we denote by Σ_1 and Σ_2 . Let $x_0 \in \Sigma_1$ (resp. $y_0 \in \Sigma_2$) be the point corresponding to the singular point in Z_0 . We also fix a projection $h_1: Z \rightarrow \Sigma$ that is the result of blowing down Z along Σ_2 and then project to Σ . We fix an $h_2: Z \rightarrow \Sigma$ similarly by blowing down Σ_1 first. We now define a relative functor

$$\mathcal{F}: \{\text{category of schemes}/V\} \longrightarrow \{\text{Sets}\}/\sim$$

that sends any scheme T over V to the set of all subschemes $S \subseteq T \times_V Z \times X$ flat over T of which the following holds: For any closed $t \in T$ over $v \in V$,

$$(3.3) \quad [p_X(S_t)] \in B, \chi(\mathcal{O}_{S_t}) = 1, \deg(h_1^* \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(1), S_t) = \deg(h_2^* \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(1), S_t) = 1.$$

$\mathcal{F}$ is represented by the Hilbert scheme $\mathcal{H}_B$, projective over V . Since $Z \rightarrow V$ is a constant family over $V - 0$, for $v \neq 0$ the fiber of $\mathcal{H}_B$ over v is isomorphic to H_B . We let $\mathcal{W}_B \subseteq \mathcal{H}_B$ be the closure of $W_B \times (V - 0) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_B$. $\mathcal{W}_B$ is projective and flat over V .

In the following, we will define the relative analogue of (1.5). Let $\mathcal{C}_B \subseteq Z \times X \times \mathcal{W}_B$ be the (restriction of the) universal family and let $\mathcal{C}_B^{n+m}$ be the product of $n+m$ copies of $\mathcal{C}_B$ over $\mathcal{W}_B$. As before, we let π_{iZ} and π_{iX} be projections from $\mathcal{C}_B^{n+m}$ to Z and X respectively that factor through the i -th copy of $\mathcal{C}_B^{n+m}$. After choosing a partition $n = n_1 + n_2$ with $n_1, n_2 \geq 2$, we can define a morphism

$$P^{n_1:n_2|m}: \mathcal{C}_B^{n+m} \longrightarrow (\Sigma \times X)^{n_1} \times (\Sigma \times X)^{n_2} \times X^m$$

that is the product

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n_1} (h_1 \circ \pi_{iZ}) \times \pi_{iX} \right) \times \left(\prod_{i=n_1+1}^n (h_2 \circ \pi_{iZ}) \times \pi_{iX} \right) \times \left(\prod_{j=1}^m \pi_{n+jX} \right).$$

We let

$$(3.4) \quad \prod_{i=1}^n A^*X \times \prod_{j=1}^m A^*X \longrightarrow A^* \left((\Sigma \times X)^{n_1} \times (\Sigma \times X)^{n_2} \times X^m \right)$$

be the inclusion sending $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)$ to

$$(3.5) \quad \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n_1} e_0 \times \alpha_i \right) \times \left(\prod_{i=n_1+1}^n e_0 \times \alpha_i \right) \times \left(\prod_{j=1}^m \beta_j \right) \in A^* \left((\Sigma \times X)^{n_1} \times (\Sigma \times X)^{n_2} \times X^m \right).$$

(e_0 is the Poincare dual of a point.) The composition of $(P^{n_1:n_2|m})^*$ with the inclusion (3.4) defines a homomorphism

$$\tilde{\Psi}_B^{n_1:n_2} : \prod_{i=1}^n A^* X \times \prod_{j=1}^m A^* X \longrightarrow A^* \mathcal{C}_B^{n+m}$$

that is the relative version of Ψ_B in (1.5). By definition of cohomology theory, $\tilde{\Psi}_B^{n_1:n_2}$ paired with the fundamental class $[\mathcal{C}_{B,v}^{n+m}] \in A_* \mathcal{C}_B^{n+m}$, where $\mathcal{C}_{B,v}^{n+m}$ is the fiber of $\mathcal{C}_B^{n+m}$ over $v \in V$, defines a homomorphism

$$\prod_{i=1}^n A^* X \times \prod_{j=1}^m A^* X \longrightarrow A_* \mathcal{C}_B^{n+m}$$

that composed with the degree homomorphism

$$A_* \mathcal{C}_B^{n+m} \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} A_0 \mathcal{C}_B^{n+m} \xrightarrow{\deg} \mathbb{Z}$$

gives rise to the relative version of (1.7):

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{B,v}^{n_1:n_2} : \prod_{i=1}^n A^* X \times \prod_{j=1}^m A^* X \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}.$$

In explicit form,

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{B,v}^{n_1:n_2}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mid \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) = \deg \left(\tilde{\Psi}_B^{n_1:n_2}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mid \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) [\mathcal{C}_{B,v}^{n+m}] \right)_{[0]}.$$

On the other hand, since $\mathcal{C}_B^{n+m}$ is constant over $V - 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mid \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) &= \deg \left(\Psi_B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mid \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) [\mathcal{C}_B^{n+m}] \right)_{[0]} \\ &= \deg \left(\tilde{\Psi}_B^{n_1:n_2}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mid \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) [\mathcal{C}_{B,v}^{n+m}] \right)_{[0]} \end{aligned}$$

for $v \in V - 0$. However, $[\mathcal{C}_{B,v}^{n+m}] = [\mathcal{C}_{B,0}^{n+m}]$ in $A_* \mathcal{C}_B^{n+m}$ by our choice of V (it is rational) and the flatness of $\mathcal{C}_B^{n+m}$ over V . Hence

$$(3.6) \quad \Phi_B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mid \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) = \deg \left(\tilde{\Psi}_B^{n_1:n_2}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mid \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) [\mathcal{C}_{B,0}^{n+m}] \right)_{[0]}.$$

Therefore in order to establish the composition law, we only need to show that the right hand side of (3.2) is identical to

$$(3.7) \quad \deg \left(\tilde{\Psi}_B^{n_1:n_2}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mid \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) [\mathcal{C}_{B,0}^{n+m}] \right)_{[0]}.$$

We remark that we only need to consider the case when

$$(3.8) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \text{codim } Y_i + \sum_{j=1}^m \text{codim } Z_j = \rho(B) + m,$$

since otherwise both sides of (3.2) vanish automatically for dimension reason. In the following, we will assume without further mentioning that the identity (3.8) always hold.

We now construct explicitly the cycle in (3.7) using Poincare duality, similar to that in the proof of theorem 1.2. We choose $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \Sigma$ and consider the subvariety

$$\tilde{Y} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n_1} \{z_i\} \times Y_i \right) \times \left(\prod_{i=n_1+1}^n \{z_i\} \times Y_i \right) \times \left(\prod_{j=1}^m Z_j \right) \subseteq (\Sigma \times X)^{n_1} \times (\Sigma \times X)^{n_2} \times X^m.$$

Note that $\tilde{Y}$ represents the Poincare dual of (3.5). By our assumption on (3.8), the codimension of $\tilde{Y}$ is one less than the dimension of $\mathcal{C}_B^{n+m}$. In a moment, we will show that when z_i 's, Y_i 's and Z_j 's are in general positions, then the subscheme

$$(P^{n_1:n_2|m})^{-1}(\tilde{Y}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_B^{n+m},$$

is one-dimensional and is flat and Cohen-Macaulay over V at $0 \in V$. Hence (3.7) will be the same as the length of

$$(3.9) \quad \widetilde{\text{Int}}_B^{n_1:n_2}(z, Y, Z) = (P^{n_1:n_2|m})^{-1}(\tilde{Y}) \cap \mathcal{C}_{B,0}^{n+m},$$

since it is 0-dimensional and Cohen-Macaulay [Fu, Proposition 7.1 and Corollary 17.4]. A moment of thought tells us that points in (3.9) are curves $S \subseteq Z_0 \times X$ whose two irreducible components as pairs of curves lie in $C_{B_1} \times C_{B_2}$ for some $B_1 + B_2 = B$ (see Corollary 2.7). It is the understanding of this correspondence that will lead to the proof of the composition law.

We first relate the summands in the right hand side of (3.2) to the lengths of subschemes in $C_{B_1} \times C_{B_2}$. To this end, we introduce some convention that will be used in the remainder of this section. Let $B = B_1 + B_2$, $n = n_1 + n_2$ ($n_1, n_2 \geq 2$ as always) and $m = m_1 + m_2$ ($m_1, m_2 \geq 0$) be partitions that will be fixed momentarily. For

convenience, we will think of C_{B_1} as a universal family in $\Sigma_1 \times X \times W_{B_1}$ (namely points in C_{B_1} are pointed curves in $\Sigma_1 \times X$) and

$$C_{B_1}^{1+n_1+m_1} = C_{B_1} \times_{W_{B_1}} C_{B_1}^{n_1+m_1}$$

a product of $(1 + n_1 + m_1)$ copies of C_{B_1} indexed by $i = 0$ (corresponding to the first copy of C_{B_1}) and $i = 1, \dots, n_1 + m_1$ (corresponding to $n_1 + m_1$ copies in $C_{B_1}^{n_1+m_1}$). We adopt the same convention to $C_{B_2}^{1+n_2+m_2}$. Under this convention, we have the obvious projections

$$p_{i\Sigma_1} : C_{B_1}^{1+n_1+m_1} \longrightarrow \Sigma_1 \text{ and } p_{iX} : C_{B_1}^{1+n_1+m_1} \longrightarrow X$$

indexed from 0 to $n_1 + m_1$ (that factor through the i -th copy of $C_{B_1}^{1+n_1+m_1}$) and

$$q_{i\Sigma_2} : C_{B_2}^{1+n_2+m_2} \longrightarrow \Sigma_2 \text{ and } q_{iX} : C_{B_2}^{1+n_2+m_2} \longrightarrow X$$

alike indexed from 0 to $n_2 + m_2$. Let $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \Sigma$ be points away from $h_1(\Sigma_2)$ and $h_2(\Sigma_1)$, let $x_i = h_1^{-1}(z_i) \in \Sigma_1$ for $i \leq n_1$ and let $y_i = h_2^{-1}(z_{n_1+i}) \in \Sigma_2$ for $i \leq n_2$. Similar to (1.3), we introduce projection

$$(3.10) \quad P^{n_i|m_i} : C_{B_i}^{1+n_i+m_i} \longrightarrow (\Sigma_i \times X)^{n_i} \times X^{m_i}, \quad i = 1, 2$$

that factor through $C_{B_i} \times_{W_{B_i}} C_{B_i}^{n_i+m_i} \rightarrow C_{B_i}^{n_i+m_i}$ and subvarieties

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_1(\tau) &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n_1} \{x_i\} \times Y_i \right) \times \left(\prod_{j=1}^{m_1} Z_{\tau(j)} \right) \subseteq (\Sigma_1 \times X)^{n_1} \times X^{m_1}, \\ \Theta_2(\tau) &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n_2} \{y_i\} \times Y_{n_1+i} \right) \times \left(\prod_{j=1}^{m_2} Z_{\tau(m_1+j)} \right) \subseteq (\Sigma_2 \times X)^{n_2} \times X^{m_2}, \end{aligned}$$

that depend on the choice of $\tau \in S(m)$, a permutation of m letters. The subscheme we will work with is the intersection scheme

$$(3.11) \quad P_0^{-1} \left(\{x_0, y_0\} \times \Delta \right) \bigcap \left((P^{n_1|m_1})^{-1}(\Theta_1(\tau)) \times (P^{n_2|m_2})^{-1}(\Theta_2(\tau)) \right),$$

where

$$P_0 : C_{B_1}^{1+n_1+m_1} \times C_{B_2}^{1+n_2+m_2} \longrightarrow (\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2) \times (X \times X)$$

is defined as $P_0 = (p_{0\Sigma_1}, q_{0\Sigma_2}) \times (p_{0X}, q_{0X})$. In the following, for $v_i \in (PGL(2) \times G)^{n_i} \times G^{m_i}$, we will denote by $\Theta_i(\tau)^{v_i}$ the translation of $\Theta_i(\tau)$ by v_i .

Proposition 3.1. *Let the notation be as before and $\tau \in S(m)$. Then for general*

$$(3.12) \quad (v_1, v_2) \in \left((PGL(2) \times G)^{n_1} \times G^{m_1} \right) \times \left((PGL(2) \times G)^{n_2} \times G^{m_2} \right)$$

the scheme

$$(3.13) \quad P_0^{-1} \left(\{x_0, y_0\} \times \Delta \right) \cap \left((P^{n_1|m_1})^{-1}(\Theta_1(\tau)^{v_1}) \times (P^{n_2|m_2})^{-1}(\Theta_2(\tau)^{v_2}) \right)$$

is discrete, Cohen-Macaulay and is contained in the fibers over $W_{B_1}^\circ \times W_{B_2}^\circ$ whose length is exactly

$$\sum_{l=1}^p \Phi_{B_1}(\zeta_l, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n_1} \mid \beta_{\tau(1)}, \dots, \beta_{\tau(m_1)}) \\ \cdot \Phi_{B_2}(\tilde{\zeta}_l, \alpha_{n_1+1}, \dots, \alpha_n \mid \beta_{\tau(m_1+1)}, \dots, \beta_{\tau(n)})$$

Proof. We recall that by (3.8),

$$\dim C_{B_1}^{1+n_1+m_1} \times C_{B_2}^{1+n_2+m_2} = \text{codim } \Theta_1(\tau) + \text{codim } \Theta_2(\tau) + \text{codim } \{x_0, y_0\} \times \Delta.$$

Also, $C_{B_1}^{1+n_1+m_1} \times C_{B_2}^{1+n_2+m_2}$ is smooth over $W_{B_1}^\circ \times W_{B_2}^\circ$ and $(\Sigma_i \times X)^{n_i} \times X^{m_i}$ and $(\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2) \times (X \times X)$ are homogeneous under $(PGL(2) \times G)^{n_i} \times G^{m_i}$ and $PGL(2)^2 \times G^2$ respectively. Hence for general $(v_1, v_2, (\delta_1, \delta_2), (\gamma_1, \gamma_2))$ in

$$\left((PGL(2) \times G)^{n_1} \times G^{m_1} \right) \times \left((PGL(2) \times G)^{n_2} \times G^{m_2} \right) \times PGL(2)^2 \times G^2,$$

the scheme

$$(3.14) \quad P_0^{-1} \left(\{x_0^{\delta_1}, y_0^{\delta_2}\} \times \Delta^{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)} \right) \cap \left((P^{n_1|m_1})^{-1}(\Theta_1(\tau)^{v_1}) \times (P^{n_2|m_2})^{-1}(\Theta_2(\tau)^{v_2}) \right)$$

is discrete, Cohen-Macaulay and is contained in the fibers over $W_{B_1}^\circ \times W_{B_2}^\circ$, by Lemma 2.3. To prove the first part of the proposition, we need to show that we can achieve the same goal by only choosing (v_1, v_2) general while letting $\delta_1, \delta_2, \gamma_1$ and γ_2 be the identity elements of the respective groups. Indeed, since $\Sigma \times X$ is a $PGL(2) \times G$ -variety, C_B admits a canonical $PGL(2) \times G$ action that makes $(p_\Sigma, p_X): C_B \rightarrow \Sigma \times X$ equivariant. Hence

$$(p_{0\Sigma_1}, p_{0X}) \times P^{n_1|m_1} : C_{B_1}^{1+n_1+m_1} \longrightarrow (\Sigma_1 \times X) \times (\Sigma_1 \times X)^{n_1} \times X^{m_1}$$

is $PGL(2) \times G$ equivariant with $PGL(2)$ and G acting on both sides diagonally. Let μ_1 be the transformation on $(\Sigma_1 \times X)^{n_1} \times X^{m_1}$ that is induced by (δ_1, γ_1) acting diagonally

on it. We let μ_2 be the transformation on $(\Sigma_2 \times X)^{n_2} \times X^{m_2}$ defined similarly based on (δ_2, γ_2) . Then the scheme

$$P_0^{-1}(\{x_0, y_0\} \times \Delta) \cap \left((P^{n_1|m_1})^{-1}(\Theta_1(\tau)^{\mu_1^{-1} \cdot v_1}) \times (P^{n_2|m_2})^{-1}(\Theta_2(\tau)^{\mu_2^{-1} \cdot v_2}) \right)$$

is canonically isomorphic to (3.14). Finally, when $(v_1, v_2, (\delta_1, \delta_2), (\gamma_1, \gamma_2))$ is a general element,

$$(v'_1, v'_2) = (\mu_1^{-1} \cdot v_1, \mu_2^{-1} \cdot v_2)$$

is a general element in (3.12) as well. This proves the first part of the proposition.

Now we assume (v_1, v_2) is already a general element in (3.12). Since the intersection scheme (3.13) is discrete and Cohen-Macaulay, by [Fu, Proposition 7.1], its length coincides with the degree of

$$(3.15) \quad \left(\left((P^{n_1|m_1})^*([\Theta_1(\tau)]^\vee) \times (P^{n_2|m_2})^*([\Theta_2(\tau)]^\vee) \right) \bigcup (P_0)^*([\{x_0, y_0\}]^\vee \times [\Delta]^\vee) \right) [C_{B_1}^{1+n_1+m_1} \times C_{B_2}^{1+n_2+m_2}].$$

However,

$$(P_0)^*([\{x_0, y_0\}]^\vee \times [\Delta]^\vee) = \sum_{l=1}^p (p_{0\Sigma_1}^* e_0 \times q_{0\Sigma_2}^* e_0) \cup (p_{0X}^* \zeta_l \times q_{0X}^* \tilde{\zeta}_l),$$

because of the Kunneth decomposition (3.1). Therefore (3.15) (as 0-cycle) is identical to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{l=1}^p \left((P^{n_1|m_1})^*([\Theta_1(\tau)]^\vee) \bigcup (p_{0\Sigma_1}^* e_0 \cup p_{0X}^* \zeta_l) \right) [C_{B_1}^{1+n_1+m_1}] \\ & \quad \times \left((P^{n_1|m_1})^*([\Theta_2(\tau)]^\vee) \bigcup (q_{0\Sigma_2}^* e_0 \cup q_{0X}^* \zeta_l) \right) [C_{B_2}^{1+n_2+m_2}]. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we obviously have the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \deg \left(\left((P^{n_1|m_1})^*([\Theta_1(\tau)]^\vee) \bigcup (p_{0\Sigma_1}^* e_0 \cup p_{0X}^* \zeta_l) \right) [C_{B_1}^{1+n_1+m_1}] \right) \\ & = \Phi(\zeta_l, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n_1} \mid \beta_{\tau(1)}, \dots, \beta_{\tau(m_1)}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the degree of (3.15) is exactly the formula given in the proposition as desired. This completes the proof of the proposition. $\square$

In the following, we will denote the scheme in (3.11) by

$$\mathrm{Int}_B\{B_1, n_1, m_1, \tau\}$$

that depends implicitly on the choice of

$$z_1, \dots, z_n \in \Sigma \text{ and } Y_1, \dots, Y_n, Z_1, \dots, Z_m \subseteq X,$$

which are assumed to be in general position in the sense of the Corollary 2.7 and Proposition 3.1. The main goal in the remainder of this section is to construct an isomorphism

$$F : \widetilde{\mathrm{Int}}_B^{n_1:n_2}\{z, Y, Z\} \longrightarrow \coprod_{\substack{B_1+B_2=B, m_1+m_2=m \\ \tau \in S(m_1, m)}} \mathrm{Int}_B(B_1, n_1, m_1, \tau),$$

where $S(m_1, m) \subseteq S(m)$ is the subset consisting of those $\tau \in S(m)$ such that

$$\tau(1) < \dots < \tau(m_1) \text{ and } \tau(m_1 + 1) < \dots < \tau(m).$$

Note that the source and the target schemes of F are 0-schemes whose lengths are given by the left and the right hand sides of (3.2) respectively. Hence the composition law (3.2) follows if we can construct and confirm the isomorphism of such an F .

We first explain how F is defined as a map between sets. Let

$$(S, s_1, \dots, s_{n+m}) \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Int}}_B^{n_1:n_2}\{z, Y, Z\}$$

be any point. By Corollary 2.7, S has exactly two irreducible components. Thus the morphism $\varphi_{Z_0} : S \rightarrow Z_0$ must be an isomorphism. We identify S with $Z_0 = \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2$ by this isomorphism and let $f_i : \Sigma_i \rightarrow X$ be the morphism induced by inclusion $\Sigma_i \subseteq S \subseteq Z_0 \times X$ and the projection $Z_0 \times X \rightarrow X$. Let $B_i = [f_i(\Sigma_i)] \in A_1X / \sim_{\mathrm{alg}}$. So $f_i \in \mathrm{Mor}(\Sigma_i, B_i)$.

We recall that

$$(h_1 \circ p_Z)^{-1}(z_i) \cap Z_0 \quad \text{and} \quad (h_2 \circ p_Z)^{-1}(z_i) \cap Z_0$$

are closed points in Z_0 away from its singular point. Hence s_i lies in Σ_1 for $1 \leq i \leq n_1$ because it lies in $(h_1 \circ p_Z)^{-1}(z_i)$. For similar reason, s_i lies in Σ_2 for $n_1 + 1 \leq i \leq n$. As to s_i with $i > n$, we know that each of them is contained in the smooth locus of S and is contained in one and only one $Z_0 \times Z_j$ among $1 \leq j \leq m$, by (3) of Corollary 2.7. Hence we can find unique $m_1 \geq 0$ and $\tau \in S(m_1, m)$ such that

$$(3.16) \quad s_{n+\tau(j)} \in \Sigma_1 \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq m_1 \text{ and } s_{n+\tau(m_1+j)} \in \Sigma_2 \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq m_2.$$

With these convention agreed, we assign

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} x_i = s_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n_1 \quad \text{and} \quad x_{n_1+j} = s_{\tau(j)}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m_1; \\ y_i = s_{n_1+i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n_2 \quad \text{and} \quad y_{n_2+j} = s_{\tau(m_1+j)}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m_2. \end{aligned}$$

Then $f_1: \Sigma_1 \rightarrow X$ is a morphism sending x_i to Y_i for $i \leq n_1$ and sending x_{n_1+j} to $Z_{\tau(j)}$ for $j \leq m_1$. Similarly, $f_2: \Sigma_2 \rightarrow X$ sends y_i to Y_{n_1+i} and y_{n_2+j} to $Z_{\tau(m_1+j)}$. Finally, if we let $x_0 \in \Sigma_1$ and $y_0 \in \Sigma_2$ be as before (the singular point of Z_0), then certainly

$$f_1(x_0) = f_2(y_0).$$

Hence the graph $\Gamma_{f_1} \subseteq \Sigma_1 \times X$ with tuple

$$\{(x_0, f_1(x_0)), \dots, (x_{n_1+m_1}, f_1(x_{n_1+m_1}))\}$$

is a point in $C_{B_1}^{1+n_1+m_1}$ and the graph Γ_{f_2} with tuple $\{(y_i, f_2(y_i))\}$ is a point in $C_{B_2}^{1+n_2+m_2}$. This pair of points assigns a point in $C_{B_1}^{1+n_1+m_1} \times C_{B_2}^{1+n_2+m_2}$ that lies in

$$\text{Int}_B(B_1, n_1, m_1, \tau),$$

by straight forward inspection. We denote this assignment by F , which for the moment is a map between sets:

$$(3.18) \quad F: \widetilde{\text{Int}}_B^{n_1:n_2} \{z., Y., Z.\} \longrightarrow \coprod_{\substack{B_1+B_2=B, m_1+m_2=m, \\ \tau \in S(m_1, m)}} \text{Int}_B(B_1, n_1, m_1, \tau).$$

Proposition 3.2. *Let the notation be as before. Suppose $z_1, \dots, z_n \in Z_0$ and $Y_1, \dots, Y_n, Z_1, \dots, Z_m \subseteq \blacksquare$ are in general positions as in Corollary 2.7 and Proposition 3.1, then*

- (1) *the map F is one-to-one;*
- (2) *The map F can be extended to a morphism $\tilde{F}$ of the respective schemes;*
- (3) *$\tilde{F}$ is a local isomorphism at $w \in \widetilde{\text{Int}}_B^{n_1:n_2} \{z., Y., Z.\}$ if $\pi: \mathcal{H}_B \rightarrow Z$ is smooth at $\bar{w} \in \mathcal{H}_B$, where $\bar{w} \in \mathcal{H}_B$ lies under w .*

Proof. (1) follows from the uniqueness of m_1 and $\tau \in S(m_1, m)$ which follows from Corollary 2.7. We now show that F can be extended to a morphism. Since $\widetilde{\text{Int}}_B^{n_1:n_2} \{z., Y., Z.\}$ is zero-dimensional, it suffices to construct a morphism

$$\tilde{F}_A: \text{Spec } A \longrightarrow \coprod_{\substack{B_1+B_2=B, m_1+m_2=m, \\ \tau \in S(m_1, m)}} \text{Int}_B(B_1, n_1, m_1, \tau)$$

for any

$$(3.19) \quad \text{Spec } A \subseteq \widetilde{\text{Int}}_B^{n_1:n_2} \{z., Y., Z.\}$$

so that $\widetilde{F}_A$ commutes with the base change, where A is any local Artinian ring of residue field K . Let $(S_A, s_{A,1}, \dots, s_{A,n+m})$ be a flat family over $\text{Spec } A$ induced by the inclusion (3.19), where

$$(3.20) \quad S_A \subseteq Z_0 \times X \times \text{Spec } A$$

and $s_{A,1}, \dots, s_{A,n+m}$ are sections of $S_A \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$. (By abuse of notation, we will also view $s_{A,i}$ as a subscheme of S_A that is isomorphic to $\text{Spec } A$ under the projection $S_A \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$.) (3.20) induces a morphism

$$\Phi_{Z_0}(A) : S_A \rightarrow Z_0 \times \text{Spec } A$$

after composing with projection to $Z_0 \times \text{Spec } A$. We first claim that $\Phi_{Z_0}(A)$ is an isomorphism. Indeed, let

$$(3.21) \quad \iota : \Phi_{Z_0}(A)^* \mathcal{O}_{Z_0 \times \text{Spec } A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S_A}$$

be the induced homomorphism. Let $S_0 \subseteq S_A$ be the scheme with reduced scheme structure. By Corollary 2.7, S_0 has exactly two irreducible components, hence isomorphic to Z_0 via $\varphi_{Z_0} : S_0 \rightarrow Z_0$ (since $\chi(\mathcal{O}_{S_0}) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_{Z_0})$). On the other hand, since sheaves in (3.21) are flat over A , (3.21) restricts to a homomorphism $\Phi_{Z_0}^* \mathcal{O}_{Z_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S_0}$ that is an isomorphism since $Z_0 \cong S_0$. Hence ι is injective because both sheaves are flat over A . Further, because $\chi(\mathcal{O}_{S_A}) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_{Z_0 \times \text{Spec } A})$, ι must be an isomorphism. This proves that $\Phi_{Z_0}(A)$ is an isomorphism. Next after identifying S_A with $Z_0 \times \text{Spec } A$ by $\Phi_{Z_0}(A)$, we obtain an immersion

$$f_A : Z_0 \times \text{Spec } A \subseteq Z_0 \times X \times \text{Spec } A$$

that provides us a pair of immersions

$$f_{A,i} : \Sigma_i \times \text{Spec } A \longrightarrow \Sigma_i \times X \times \text{Spec } A, \quad i = 1, 2$$

because Z_0 is a union of Σ_1 and Σ_2 . Let

$$C_{A,i} \subseteq \Sigma_i \times X \times \text{Spec } A$$

be the image of $f_{A,i}$. To proceed, we need to assign sections of $C_{A,i} \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ based on $s_{A,i}$. Let $m_1 \geq 0$ and $\tau \in S(m_1, m)$ be the pair associated to

$$(s_A, s_{A,1}, \dots, s_{A,n+m}) \otimes \text{Spec } K$$

given at (3.16) and let

$$x_{A,i}, y_{A,j} : \operatorname{Spec} A \subseteq S_A, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n_1 + m_1, 1 \leq j \leq n_2 + m_2$$

be immersions derived by renaming $\{s_{A,i}\}_1^{n+m}$ with rule specified in (3.17). Following this assignment, images of $x_{A,i}$'s are contained in $C_{A,1}$ and images of $y_{A,j}$'s are contained in $C_{A,2}$. Finally, we let $x_{A,0} \in C_{A,0}$ be the image $f_{A,1}(x_0 \times \operatorname{Spec} A)$ and let $y_{A,0} \in C_{A,2}$ be the image $f_{A,2}(y_0 \times \operatorname{Spec} A)$. Then

$$(C_{A,1}, x_{A,0}, \dots, x_{A,n_1+m_1})$$

defines a morphism

$$F_{A,1} : \operatorname{Spec} A \longrightarrow C_{B_1}^{1+n_1+m_1}$$

by the universality of the Hilbert scheme $H_{B_1} \supseteq W_{B_1}$. Similarly, we obtain a morphism

$$F_{A,2} : \operatorname{Spec} A \longrightarrow C_{B_2}^{1+n_2+m_2}$$

by using $C_{A,2}$ and $y_{A,j}$'s. Here B_1 and B_2 are cycles in $A_1 X$ defined before based on two irreducible components of $S_0 \subseteq Z_0 \times X$ (before (3.16)). Hence we obtain

$$(3.22) \quad F_A = F_{A,1} \times_A F_{A,2} : \operatorname{Spec} A \longrightarrow C_{B_1}^{1+n_1+m_1} \times C_{B_2}^{1+n_2+m_2}.$$

Because F_A commutes with base change, which is apparent from the construction, (3.22) defines a morphism

$$\tilde{F} : \widetilde{\operatorname{Int}}_B^{n_1:n_2} \{z., Y., Z.\} \longrightarrow C_{B_1}^{1+n_1+m_1} \times C_{B_2}^{1+n_2+m_2}.$$

We now show that the image lies in $\widetilde{\operatorname{Int}}_B^{n_1:n_2} \{z., Y., Z.\}$. From the construction we see that (Im stands for image):

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_X(\operatorname{Im}\{x_{A,i}\}) \subseteq Y_i & \text{and} & \varphi_{\Sigma_1}(\operatorname{Im}\{x_{A,i}\}) \subseteq \{z_i\}, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n_1; \\ \varphi_X(\operatorname{Im}\{x_{A,n_1+j}\}) \subseteq Z_{\tau(j)}, & & & \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq m_1. \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_X(\operatorname{Im}\{y_{A,i}\}) \subseteq Y_{n_1+i} & \text{and} & \varphi_{\Sigma_2}(\operatorname{Im}\{y_{A,i}\}) \subseteq \{z_{n_1+i}\}, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n_2; \\ \varphi_X(\operatorname{Im}\{y_{A,n_2+j}\}) \subseteq Z_{\tau(m_1+j)}, & & & \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq m_2. \end{cases}$$

Also, it is straight forward to check that the image of the subscheme

$$x_{A,0} \times_A y_{A,0} \subseteq C_{A,1} \times_A C_{A,2}$$

under the projection

$$C_{A,1} \times_A C_{A,2} \subseteq C_{B_1}^{1+n_1+m_1} \times C_{B_2}^{1+n_2+m_2} \xrightarrow{P_0} (\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2) \times (X \times X) \longrightarrow X \times X$$

is contained in Δ . Therefore, the morphism $\tilde{F}$ factor through

$$\coprod_{\substack{B_1+B_2=B, m_1+m_2=m, \\ \tau \in S(m_1, m)}} \text{Int}_B(B_1, n_1, m_1, \tau) \subseteq C_{B_1}^{1+n_1+m_1} \times C_{B_2}^{1+n_2+m_2},$$

which is an extension of F in (3.18). We denote this extension by $\tilde{F}$.

It remains to show that $\tilde{F}$ is a local isomorphism over where π is smooth. Let

$$w \in \widetilde{\text{Int}}_B^{n_1:n_2} \{z, Y, Z\}$$

be a smooth point of π and let U be the component of

$$(3.23) \quad \coprod_{\substack{B_1+B_2=B, m_1+m_2=m, \\ \tau \in S(m_1, m)}} \text{Int}_B(B_1, n_1, m_1, \tau)$$

containing $\tilde{F}(w)$. (Note (3.23) is a 0-dimensional scheme.) Thus to show that $\tilde{F}$ is a local isomorphism near w it suffices to construct a morphism

$$\tilde{H} : U \longrightarrow \widetilde{\text{Int}}_B^{n_1:n_2} \{z, Y, Z\}$$

such that $\tilde{H} \circ \tilde{F}$ and $\tilde{F} \circ \tilde{H}$ are identity morphisms on the components containing w and $\tilde{F}(w)$ respectively. Let $U = \text{Spec } A$ and let

$$(3.24) \quad (C_{A,1}, x_{A,0}, \dots, x_{A,n_1+m_1}) \times (C_{A,2}, y_{A,0}, \dots, y_{A,n_2+m_2})$$

be the family over $\text{Spec } A$ corresponding to

$$\text{Spec } A \subseteq \coprod_{\substack{B_1+B_2=B, m_1+m_2=m, \\ \tau \in S(m_1, m)}} \text{Int}_B(B_1, n_1, m_1, \tau).$$

By Proposition 3.1, $C_{A,1}$ and $C_{A,2}$ are irreducible and then

$$C_{A,1} \cong \Sigma_1 \times \text{Spec } A \text{ and } C_{A,2} \cong \Sigma_2 \times \text{Spec } A$$

via projections. Let S_A be the scheme resulting from gluing $C_{A,1}$ and $C_{A,2}$ along $x_{A,0} \subseteq C_{A,1}$ and $y_{A,0} \subseteq C_{A,2}$. Clearly, between *sets* we have a one-to-one map

$$(3.25) \quad f : S_A \longrightarrow Z_0 \times X \times \text{Spec } A$$

that is induced by the closed immersion

$$f_1 : C_{A,1} \longrightarrow \Sigma_1 \times X \times \operatorname{Spec} A, \quad f_2 : C_{A,2} \longrightarrow \Sigma_2 \times X \times \operatorname{Spec} A.$$

To show that (3.25) actually comes from a closed immersion (flat over $\operatorname{Spec} A$), we first need to define a homomorphism

$$(3.26) \quad f^* \mathcal{O}_{Z_0 \times X \times \operatorname{Spec} A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S_A}.$$

Recall that $\mathcal{O}_{S_A}$ is defined by the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S_A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_{A,1}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{C_{A,2}} \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0$$

as $\mathcal{O}_{S_A}$ -modules (by viewing $C_{A,1}, C_{A,2} \subseteq S_A$ and identifying $A = \mathcal{O}_{x_{A,0}}$ and $A = \mathcal{O}_{y_{A,0}}$) and $\mathcal{O}_{Z_0 \times X \times \operatorname{Spec} A}$ belongs to the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z_0 \times X \times \operatorname{Spec} A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_1 \times X \times \operatorname{Spec} A} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_2 \times X \times \operatorname{Spec} A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \times \operatorname{Spec} A} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Hence to find (3.26) we only need to show that the composition

$$f^* \mathcal{O}_{Z_0 \times X \times \operatorname{Spec} A} \longrightarrow f_1^* \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_1 \times X \times \operatorname{Spec} A} \oplus f_2^* \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_2 \times X \times \operatorname{Spec} A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_{A,1}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{C_{A,2}} \longrightarrow A$$

is trivial, which is true because of the condition

$$\operatorname{Int}_B(B_1, n_1, m_1, \tau) \subseteq P_0^{-1}(\{x_0, y_0\} \times \Delta).$$

Therefore, we obtain the homomorphism (3.26). This homomorphism is surjective because

$$f_1^* \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_1 \times X \times \operatorname{Spec} A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_{A,1}} \quad \text{and} \quad f_2^* \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_2 \times X \times \operatorname{Spec} A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_{A,2}}$$

are surjective and henceforth induce a morphism

$$(3.27) \quad g : \operatorname{Spec} A \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{B,0}.$$

We claim that g factor through $\mathcal{W}_{B,0}$. Indeed, by our construction of $\tilde{F}$ and g , $\bar{w} = g(\operatorname{Spec} K) \in \mathcal{H}_{B,0}$ is under $w \in \mathcal{C}_{B,0}^{n+m}$ via the projection $\mathcal{C}_{B,0}^{n+m} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{B,0}$. Hence $\bar{w}$ is contained in $\mathcal{W}_{B,0}$. However, $\bar{w}$ is a smooth point of $\pi : \mathcal{H}_B \rightarrow V$ by assumption. Therefore g must factor through $\mathcal{W}_{B,0} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{B,0}$ because a neighborhood of $g(\operatorname{Spec} K) \in \mathcal{H}_{B,0}$ is contained in $\mathcal{W}_{B,0}$.

Now we construct $\tilde{H}$. Let $\tau \in S(m_1, m)$ be the permutation associated to w specified in (3.16) and let

$$s_{A,i} : \operatorname{Spec} A \longrightarrow S_A, \quad i = 1, \dots, n+m$$

be sections derived from $\{x_{A,i}\}^{n_1+m_1}$ and $\{y_{A,i}\}^{n_2+m_2}$ by the rule (3.17). Since g factor through $\mathcal{W}_{B,0} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{B,0}$, the tuple $(S_A, s_{A,1}, \dots, s_{A,n+m})$ defines a morphism

$$\tilde{H} : \text{Spec } A \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{B,0}^{n+m}$$

that factor through $(P^{n_1:n_2|m})^{-1}(\tilde{Y})$, by our choice of $S_{A,i}$'s. This gives $\tilde{H}$.

From the construction of $\tilde{F}$ and $\tilde{H}$, it is straight forward to check that $\tilde{F} \circ \tilde{H}$ and $\tilde{H} \circ \tilde{F}$ are identities on the respective components. This completes the proof of the proposition. $\square$

With all these prepared, the proof of the our main theorem is a matter of formality.

Proof of Theorem 0.2. We need to show that for any $B \in A_1X/\sim_{\text{alg}}$ and $n = n_1 + n_2$ with $n_1, n_2 \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi_B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mid \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) \\ = & \sum_{\substack{B=B_1+B_2, \tau \in S(m) \\ 1 \leq k \leq m, 1 \leq l \leq p}} \frac{1}{k!(m-k)!} \cdot \Phi_{B_1}(\zeta_l, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n_1} \mid \beta_{\tau(1)}, \dots, \beta_{\tau(k)}) \\ (3.28) \quad & \times \Phi_{B_2}(\tilde{\zeta}_l, \alpha_{n_1+1}, \dots, \alpha_n \mid \beta_{\tau(k+1)}, \dots, \beta_{\tau(m)}). \end{aligned}$$

We first remark that since Φ_B is a group homomorphism, it suffices to consider the classes $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in A^*X$ that are Poincare dual to subvarieties $Y_1, \dots, Y_n, Z_1, \dots, Z_m \subseteq X$. Also, by dimension reason, (3.28) is non-trivial only when

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \text{codim } Y_i + \sum_{j=1}^m \text{codim } Z_j = \rho(B) + m,$$

which we will assume in the remainder of the proof.

By using the degeneration $\mathcal{W}_B$ and the corresponding family $\mathcal{C}_B$, we can express the value

$$\Phi_B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mid \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)$$

as the degree of the zero cycle (see (3.7))

$$\tilde{\Psi}_B^{n_1:n_2}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mid \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) [\mathcal{C}_{B,0}^{n+m}].$$

We choose $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \Sigma$ and $Y_1, \dots, Y_n, Z_1, \dots, Z_m \subseteq X$ in general position (among their translations by the respective groups) as specified in Corollary 2.7 and Proposition

3.1 and let $\tilde{Y}$ be the subvariety defined before (before (3.9)). Following Proposition 3.1 and 3.2, we know that points in

$$(3.29) \quad (P^{n_1:n_2|m})^{-1}(\tilde{Y}) \cap \mathcal{C}_{B,0}^{n+m}$$

are represented by

$$(S, s_1, \dots, s_{n+m})$$

such that $S \subseteq Z_0 \times X$ have exactly two irreducible components and all $s_i \in S$ are contained in the smooth locus of S . Hence $\mathcal{C}_{B,0}^{n+m}$ is smooth near (3.29), by Lemma 2.5 and [Ko, §1.2]. Therefore, by Lemma 2.3,

$$(3.30) \quad (P^{n_1:n_2|m})^{-1}(\tilde{Y})$$

is one-dimensional, Cohen-Macaulay (smooth when $\text{char } K = 0$) near $\mathcal{C}_{B,0}^{n+m}$, after making $z_i \in \Sigma$ and $Y_i, Z_j \subseteq X$ in general position if necessary. Finally, we conclude that (3.30) is flat over V near $0 \in V$ because the map

$$\tilde{F} : (P^{n_1:n_2|m})^{-1}(\tilde{Y}) \cap \mathcal{C}_{B,0}^{n+m} \longrightarrow \coprod_{\substack{B_1+B_2=B, m_1+m_2=m, \\ \tau \in S(m_1, m)}} \text{Int}_B(B_1, n_1, m_1, \tau)$$

is one-one and the target is 0-dimensional (see Proposition 3.2). This shows that the intersection scheme

$$\widetilde{\text{Int}}_B^{n_1:n_2} \{z, Y, Z\} = (P^{n_1:n_2|m})^{-1}(\tilde{Y}) \cap \mathcal{C}_{B,0}^{n+m}$$

is 0-dimension and Cohen-Macaulay and by [Fu, Proposition 7.1 and Corollary 17.4] and (3.6),

$$(3.31) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi_B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mid \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) &= \deg \left(\tilde{\Psi}_B^{n_1:n_2}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mid \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) [\mathcal{C}_{B,0}^{n+m}] \right) \\ &= \text{length} \left(\widetilde{\text{Int}}_B^{n_1:n_2} \{z, Y, Z\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we turn our attention to the morphism

$$\tilde{F} : \widetilde{\text{Int}}_B^{n_1:n_2} \{z, Y, Z\} \longrightarrow \coprod_{\substack{B_1+B_2=B, m_1+m_2=m, \\ \tau \in S(m_1, m)}} \text{Int}_B(B_1, n_1, m_1, \tau).$$

We first show that $\tilde{F}$ is an isomorphism. By Proposition 3.2 it suffices to show that $\tilde{F}$ is surjective and the condition in (3) of Proposition 3.2 holds everywhere. Let

$$\{(C_1, x_0, \dots, x_{n_1+m_1}), (C_2, y_0, \dots, y_{n_2+m_2})\}$$

be any point in $\text{Int}_B(B_1, n_1, m_1, \tau)$. By Corollary 2.7, both C_1 and C_2 are irreducible. As we did in the proof of Proposition 3.2, we get a curve $S \subseteq Z_0 \times X$ that is the union of the image of C_1 and C_2 in $Z_0 \times X$. We also obtain ordered points $s_1, \dots, s_{n+m} \in S$ that is the result of renaming $x_1, \dots, x_{n_1+m_1}$ and $y_1, \dots, y_{n_2+m_2}$ according to the rule (3.17) that depends on $\tau \in S(m_1, m)$. From the construction, it is clear that the tuple $(S, s_1, \dots, s_{n+m})$ represents a point in $\widetilde{\text{Int}}_B^{n_1:n_2}\{z, Y, Z\}$ when the point $w \in \mathcal{H}_{B,0}$ associated to S is in $\mathcal{W}_{B,0}$. The reason w does belong to $\mathcal{W}_{B,0}$ is as follows: By (1) of Lemma 2.5, $\mathcal{H}_B$ is smooth at w . Also by (2) of Lemma 2.5, $\pi : \mathcal{H}_B \rightarrow V$ is smooth at w . Let U be the component of $\mathcal{H}_B$ containing w . Then general points of U must be irreducible curves in $\Sigma \times X$, since S has exactly two irreducible components. Therefore, U is contained in the closure of $W_B^\circ \times (V - 0)$. This proves that $w \in \mathcal{W}_{B,0}$ and consequently, $(S, s_1, \dots, s_{n+m})$ represents a point $\bar{w} \in \widetilde{\text{Int}}_B^{n_1:n_2}\{z, Y, Z\}$. By our construction, we certainly have $\tilde{F}(\bar{w}) = w$. Hence $\tilde{F}$ is surjective. This argument also shows that $\pi : \mathcal{H}_B \rightarrow V$ is smooth at all $\bar{w} \in \widetilde{\text{Int}}_B^{n_1:n_2}\{z, Y, Z\}$. Therefore by Proposition 3.2, $\tilde{F}$ is an isomorphism. Combined with (3.31), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mid \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{B=B_1+B_2 \\ 0 \leq k \leq m, \tau \in S(k, m)}} \text{length} \left(\text{Int}_B(B_1, n_1, m_1, \tau) \right) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{B=B_1+B_2, 0 \leq k \leq m \\ 1 \leq l \leq p, \tau \in S(k, m)}} \Phi_{B_1} \left(\zeta_l, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n_1} \mid \beta_{\tau(1)}, \dots, \beta_{\tau(k)} \right) \\
&\quad \cdot \Phi_{B_2} \left(\tilde{\zeta}_l, \alpha_{n_1+1} \dots \alpha_n \mid \beta_{\tau(k+1)}, \dots, \beta_{\tau(m)} \right) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{B=B_1+B_2, 0 \leq k \leq m \\ 1 \leq l \leq p, \tau \in S(m)}} \frac{1}{k!(m-k)!} \Phi_{B_1} \left(\zeta_l, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n_1} \mid \beta_{\tau(1)}, \dots, \beta_{\tau(k)} \right) \\
&\quad \cdot \Phi_{B_2} \left(\tilde{\zeta}_l, \alpha_{n_1+1} \dots \alpha_n \mid \beta_{\tau(k+1)}, \dots, \beta_{\tau(m)} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Here the second identity follows from Proposition 3.1 and the last identity follows from the symmetry of $\Phi_B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mid \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)$ among α_i 's and among β_j 's. This completes the proof of the composition law. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [Be] Bertram, A., *Modular schubert Calculus*, preprint (1994).
- [CM] Crauder, B. and Miranda, R., *Quantum Cohomology of Rational Surfaces*, preprint (1994).
- [Fu] Fulton, W., *Intersection theory*, vol. 3 Band 2, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*, Springer-Verlag, 1984.
- [FMS²] Fulton, W., MacPherson, R., Sottile, F. and Sturmfels, B., *Intersection theory on spherical varieties*, *Journal of algebraic geometry* **4** No. 1 (1995), 181-193.

- [Gr] Grothendieck, A., *Techniques de construction et théorèmes d'existence en géométrie algébrique IV*, Séminaire Bourbaki **221** (1960-61).
- [Kl] Kleiman, S., *The transversality of a general translate*, Compositio Math. **38** (1974), 287-297.
- [Ko] Kollár, J., *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*, preprint, 1994.
- [RT] Ruan, Y. and Tian, G., *A mathematical theory of quantum cohomology*, preprint (1994).
- [Ru] Ruan, Y., *Topological sigma model and Donaldson type invariants in Gromov theory*, preprint MPI (1992).
- [Sp] Springer, T. A., *Linear algebraic groups*, Progress in Mathematics; 9, Birkhauser, 1981.
- [Va] Vafa, C., *Topological mirrors and quantum rings*, Essays on mirror manifolds, ed S. -T. Yau, International press, Hong Kong, 1992.
- [Wi] Witten, E., *Two dimensional gravity and intersectional theory on moduli space*, Surveys in Differential Geometry **1** (1991), 243-310.

Mathematics Department, University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90024
 jli@math.ucla.edu;

Courant Institute of Mathematics, New York University, New York NY 10012
 tiang@math1.cims.nyu.edu.